

On Skorohod's topologies

Jan M. Swart (Czech Academy of Sciences)

joint with Nic Freeman

Cadlag functions

Let $[s, u]$ be a compact real interval.

Let (\mathcal{X}, d) be a metric space.

Def a function $f : [s, u] \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is *cadlag* (continue à droite, limite à gauche) if

- (i) $f(t) = \lim_{r \downarrow t} f(r) \quad \forall t \in [s, u)$,
- (ii) $f(t-) := \lim_{r \uparrow t} f(r)$ exists $\forall t \in (s, u]$,

Def a function $f : [s, u] \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is *caglad* (continue à gauche, limite à droite) if

- (i) $f(t+) := \lim_{r \downarrow t} f(r)$ exists $\forall t \in [s, u)$,
- (ii) $f(t) := \lim_{r \uparrow t} f(r) \quad \forall t \in (s, u]$.

Cadlag functions

Def $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{[s,u]}(\mathcal{X})$ is the space of all functions

$$[s, u] \ni t \mapsto (f(t-), f(t+))$$

that satisfy the equivalent conditions:

- (i) $t \mapsto f(t+)$ is cadlag and $f(t-) = \lim_{r \uparrow t} f(r+) \forall t \in (s, u]$.
- (ii) $t \mapsto f(t-)$ is caglad and $f(t+) = \lim_{r \downarrow t} f(r-) \forall t \in [s, u)$.

Def $\mathcal{D}_{[s,u]}(\mathcal{X})$ is the space of all functions $f \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{[s,u]}(\mathcal{X})$ such that $f(s-) = f(s+)$ and $f(u-) = f(u+)$.

An element of $\mathcal{D}_{[s,u]}(\mathcal{X})$ is uniquely determined by either $t \mapsto f(t-)$ or $t \mapsto f(t+)$.

We call $t \mapsto f(t-)$ the caglad modification of $t \mapsto f(t+)$.

Skorohod's J1 topology

For brevity, write $f(t) = f(t+)$.

[Skorohod 1956] There exists a metric d_S on $\mathcal{D}_{[s,u]}(\mathcal{X})$ such that $d_S(f_n, f) \rightarrow 0$ iff there exist λ_n such that:

- (i) $\lambda_n : [s, u] \rightarrow [s, u]$ is continuous and strictly increasing with $\lambda_n(s) = s$ and $\lambda_n(u) = u$
- (ii) $\sup_{t \in [s, u]} |\lambda_n(t) - t| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$,
- (iii) $\sup_{t \in [s, u]} d(f_n(\lambda_n(t)), f(t)) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$.

If (\mathcal{X}, d) is separable, then so is $\mathcal{D}_{[s,u]}(\mathcal{X})$.

If (\mathcal{X}, d) is complete, then d_S can be chosen complete too.

If d, d' define the same topology on \mathcal{X} , then d_S, d'_S define the same topology on $\mathcal{D}_{[s,u]}(\mathcal{X})$.

Skorohod also derived a compactness criterion.

Cadlag functions

The *split real line* is the set \mathbb{R}_s consisting of all pairs $t\pm$ consisting of a real number $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and a sign $\pm \in \{-, +\}$.

For an element $\tau = t\pm$ of \mathbb{R}_s we let $\underline{\tau} := t$ denote its real part and $\mathfrak{s}(\tau) := \pm$ its sign.

We equip \mathbb{R}_s with the lexicographic order, in which $\sigma \leq \tau$ if and only if $\underline{\sigma} < \underline{\tau}$ or $\underline{\sigma} = \underline{\tau}$ and $\mathfrak{s}(\sigma) \leq \mathfrak{s}(\tau)$.

We write $\sigma < \tau$ iff $\sigma \leq \tau$ and $\sigma \neq \tau$ and define intervals

$$((\sigma, \rho)) := \{\tau \in \mathbb{R}_s : \sigma < \tau < \rho\}, \quad [[\sigma, \rho)) := \{\tau \in \mathbb{R}_s : \sigma \leq \tau < \rho\},$$

$$((\sigma, \rho]) := \{\tau \in \mathbb{R}_s : \sigma < \tau \leq \rho\}, \quad [[\sigma, \rho]] := \{\tau \in \mathbb{R}_s : \sigma \leq \tau \leq \rho\}.$$

There is some redundancy, e.g., $((s-, r+]) = [[s+, r+]]$.

Cadlag functions

We equip the split real line \mathbb{R}_s with the *order topology*.

A basis for the topology is formed by all open intervals (σ, ρ) with $\sigma, \rho \in \mathbb{R}_s$, $\sigma < \rho$.

- (i) $\tau_n \rightarrow t+$ iff $\underline{\tau}_n \rightarrow t$ and $\tau_n \geq t+$ for n sufficiently large.
- (ii) $\tau_n \rightarrow t-$ iff $\underline{\tau}_n \rightarrow t$ and $\tau_n \leq t-$ for n sufficiently large.

Lemma \mathbb{R}_s is first countable, Hausdorff and separable, but not second countable and not metrisable.

Lemma For $C \subset \mathbb{R}_s^d$, the following are equivalent:

- (i) C is compact,
- (ii) C is sequentially compact,
- (iii) C is closed and bounded.

Cadlag functions

[Kolmogorov 1956] A function $f : \llbracket s-, u+ \rrbracket \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is continuous iff $t \mapsto (f(t-), f(t+))$ is an element of $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{[s,u]}(\mathcal{X})$.

Similarly, continuous functions $f : \llbracket s+, u- \rrbracket \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ correspond to elements of $\mathcal{D}_{[s,u]}(\mathcal{X})$.

Advantages of this approach:

- ▶ Symmetry with respect to time reversal.
- ▶ Functions in $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{[s,u]}(\mathcal{X})$ can jump at the endpoints s and u of the interval.
- ▶ Cadlag functions of several variables.

The closed graph

The *closed graph* of a function $f \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{[s,u]}(\mathcal{X})$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}(f) &:= \{(\underline{\tau}, f(\tau)) : \tau \in \llbracket s-, u+ \rrbracket\} \\ &= \{(t, f(t\pm)) : t \in [s, u]\}.\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{G}(f) \subset [s, u] \times \mathcal{X}$ is compact.

Idea: define a metric on the space $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{[s,u]}(\mathcal{X})$ by measuring the distance between closed graphs.

The Hausdorff metric

Let (\mathcal{X}, d) be a metric space.

Let $\mathcal{K}_+(\mathcal{X})$ be the set of nonempty compact subsets of \mathcal{X} .

The *Hausdorff metric* d_H is defined as

$$d_H(K_1, K_2) := \sup_{x_1 \in K_1} d(x_1, K_2) \vee \sup_{x_2 \in K_2} d(x_2, K_1),$$

where $d((x, K) := \inf_{y \in K} d(x, y)$.

A *correspondence* between two sets A_1, A_2 is a set $R \subset A_1 \times A_2$ such that

$$\forall x_1 \in A_1 \exists x_2 \in A_2 \text{ s.t. } (x_1, x_2) \in R,$$

$$\forall x_2 \in A_2 \exists x_1 \in A_1 \text{ s.t. } (x_1, x_2) \in R.$$

Let $\text{Cor}(A_1, A_2)$ denote the set of all correspondences between A_1 and A_2 .

$$d_H(K_1, K_2) = \inf_{R \in \text{Cor}(K_1, K_2)} \sup_{(x_1, x_2) \in R} d(x_1, x_2).$$

Convergence criterion $d_H(K_n, K) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \iff$

- (i) \exists compact C such that $K_n \subset C \forall n$,
- (ii) $K = \{x \in \mathcal{X} : \exists x_n \in K_n \text{ s.t. } x_n \rightarrow x\}$,
- (iii) $K = \{x \in \mathcal{X} : \exists x_n \in K_n \text{ s.t. } x \text{ is a cluster point of } (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\}$.

Corollary If d, d' generate the same topology on \mathcal{X} , then d_H, d'_H generate the same topology on $\mathcal{K}_+(\mathcal{X})$.

Note For (ii) and (iii) suffices to check

- (ii)' $K \subset \{x \in \mathcal{X} : \exists x_n \in K_n \text{ s.t. } x_n \rightarrow x\}$,
- (iii)" $K \supset \{x \in \mathcal{X} : \exists x_n \in K_n \text{ s.t. } x \text{ is a cluster point of } (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\}$.

Lemma

If (\mathcal{X}, d) is separable, then so is $(\mathcal{K}_+(\mathcal{X}), d_H)$.

If (\mathcal{X}, d) is complete, then so is $(\mathcal{K}_+(\mathcal{X}), d_H)$.

Lemma

$\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{K}_+(\mathcal{X})$ is precompact \Leftrightarrow

\exists compact C such that $K \subset C \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{A}$.

Lemma

$d_H(K_n, K) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ and K_n connected $\forall n \Rightarrow K$ connected.

The ordered Hausdorff metric

Let \mathcal{X} be a metrisable space and let \preceq be a partial order on \mathcal{X} .

Def \preceq is *compatible with the topology* if

$$\mathcal{X}^{(2)} := \{(x, y) \in \mathcal{X}^2 : x \preceq y\}$$

is a closed subset of \mathcal{X}^2 , equipped with the product topology.

In other words: $x_n \preceq y_n$, $x_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} x$, $y_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} y \Rightarrow x \preceq y$.

Def $\mathcal{K}_{\text{part}}(\mathcal{X})$ is the set of pairs (K, \preceq) such that $K \in \mathcal{K}_+(\mathcal{X})$ and \preceq is a partial order on K that is compatible with the induced topology from \mathcal{X} .

$$\mathcal{K}_{\text{tot}}(\mathcal{X}) := \{(K, \preceq) \in \mathcal{K}_{\text{part}}(\mathcal{X}) : \preceq \text{ is a total order}\}.$$

We often denote elements of $\mathcal{K}_{\text{part}}(\mathcal{X})$, $\mathcal{K}_{\text{tot}}(\mathcal{X})$ simply as K .

The ordered Hausdorff metric

Note $d^2((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) := d(x_1, x_2) \vee d(y_1, y_2)$ generates the product topology.

Def $d_{\text{part}}(K_1, K_2) := d_{\text{H}}^2(K_1^{(2)}, K_2^{(2)})$ ($K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{K}_{\text{part}}(\mathcal{X})$), where d_{H}^2 is the Hausdorff metric associated with d^2 .

Def $\text{Cor}_+(K_1, K_2)$ is the set of correspondences $R \in \text{Cor}(K_1, K_2)$ that are *monotone* in the sense that:

$$\nexists (x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \in R \text{ such that } x_1 \prec y_1 \text{ and } y_2 \prec x_2,$$

where $x \prec y$ means $x \preceq y$ and $x \neq y$.

Def $d_{\text{tot}}(K_1, K_2) := \inf_{R \in \text{Cor}_+(K_1, K_2)} \sup_{(x_1, x_2) \in R} d(x_1, x_2)$
($K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{K}_{\text{tot}}(\mathcal{X})$).

The ordered Hausdorff metric

Lemma $d_H(K_1, K_2) \leq d_{\text{part}}(K_1, K_2) \leq d_{\text{tot}}(K_1, K_2)$
($K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{K}_{\text{tot}}(\mathcal{X})$), but the opposite inequalities do not hold:
 $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{K}_{\text{tot}}(\mathcal{X})$ s.t. $d_{\text{part}}(K_1, K_2) \leq \varepsilon d_{\text{tot}}(K_1, K_2)$.

Theorem d_{part} and d_{tot} generate the same topology on $\mathcal{K}_{\text{tot}}(\mathcal{X})$.

Def *mismatch modulus* $m_\varepsilon(K)$ as

$$m_\varepsilon(K) := \sup \{ d(x_1, y_1) \vee d(x_2, y_2) : x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2 \in K \\ d(x_1, x_2) \vee d(y_1, y_2) \leq \varepsilon, x_1 \preceq y_1, y_2 \preceq x_2 \}.$$

Theorem $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{K}_{\text{tot}}(\mathcal{X})$ is precompact \Leftrightarrow

- (i) \exists compact $C \subset \mathcal{X}$ s.t. $K \subset C \forall K \in \mathcal{A}$,
- (ii) $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{K \in \mathcal{A}} m_\varepsilon(K) = 0$.

The ordered Hausdorff metric

Recall that a topological space \mathcal{X} is Polish if:

- (i) \mathcal{X} is separable,
- (ii) there exists a complete metric generating the topology on \mathcal{X} .

Note There are in general also many noncomplete metrics generating the same topology, unless \mathcal{X} is compact.

Theorem If \mathcal{X} is a Polish space, then so is $\mathcal{K}_{\text{tot}}(\mathcal{X})$, equipped with the ordered Hausdorff topology.

Skorohod's J1 topology

Recall: the closed graph of a function $f \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{[s,u]}(\mathcal{X})$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}(f) &:= \{(\underline{\tau}, f(\tau)) : \tau \in \llbracket s-, u+ \rrbracket\} \\ &= \{(t, f(t\pm)) : t \in [s, u]\}.\end{aligned}$$

We equip $\mathcal{G}(f)$ with a total order such that

$$(\underline{\sigma}, f(\sigma)) \preceq (\underline{\tau}, f(\tau)) \Leftrightarrow \sigma \leq \tau.$$

Then $\mathcal{G}(f) \in \mathcal{K}_{\text{tot}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{X})$, and

$$\begin{aligned}d_{\text{part}}^{\text{S}}(f, g) &:= d_{\text{part}}(\mathcal{G}(f), \mathcal{G}(g)), \\ d_{\text{tot}}^{\text{S}}(f, g) &:= d_{\text{tot}}(\mathcal{G}(f), \mathcal{G}(g))\end{aligned}$$

both generate Skorohod's J1 topology on $\mathcal{D}_{[s,u]}(\mathcal{X})$.

Skorohod's J1 topology

To see this, equip $\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{X}$ with the metric

$$\rho((t_1, x_1), (t_2, x_2)) := d(x_1, x_2) + |t_1 - t_2|.$$

Let Λ_+ denote the space of continuous increasing functions $\lambda : [s, u] \rightarrow [s, u]$ with $\lambda(s) = s$ and $\lambda(u) = u$.

Lemma For $f, g \in \mathcal{D}_{[s,u]}(\mathcal{X})$, one has:

$$d_{\text{tot}}^S(f, g) = \inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda_+} \sup_{t \in [s, u]} \{d(f(\lambda(t)), g(t)) + |\lambda(t) - t|\}.$$

Proof idea The closure of

$$\{((\lambda(t), f(\lambda(t))), (t, g(t))) : t \in [s, t]\}$$

is a monotone correspondence between $\mathcal{G}(f)$ and $\mathcal{G}(g)$, and every monotone correspondence can be approximated by monotone correspondences of this form.

Remark 1 The previous lemma holds only for $f, g \in \mathcal{D}_{[s,u]}(\mathcal{X})$, but d_{tot}^S is well-defined on $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{[s,u]}(\mathcal{X})$.

Remark 2 The topology generated by d_{tot}^S depends only on the topology on \mathcal{X} and not on the choice of the metric d on \mathcal{X} .

Theorem If \mathcal{X} is a Polish space, then so is $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{[s,u]}(\mathcal{X})$, equipped with the J1 topology.

Proposition The space $\mathcal{C}_{[s,u]}(\mathcal{X})$ of continuous functions $f : [s, u] \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a closed subset of $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{[s,u]}(\mathcal{X})$.

Proof $f \in \mathcal{C}_{[s,u]}(\mathcal{X}) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{G}(f)$ connected, and convergence in the Hausdorff metric preserves connectedness. ■

Compactness criterion

For each $\delta > 0$, the *Skorohod modulus of continuity* is defined as

$$m_{\delta}^S(f) := \sup_{\substack{\tau_1 \leq \tau_2 \leq \tau_3 \\ \tau_3 - \tau_1 \leq \delta}} d(f(\tau_2), \{f(\tau_1), f(\tau_3)\}).$$

Theorem $\mathcal{A} \subset \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{[s,u]}(\mathcal{X})$ is precompact \Leftrightarrow

- (i) \exists compact $C \subset \mathcal{X}$ s.t. $f(t_{\pm}) \in C \forall f \in \mathcal{A}, t \in [s, u]$,
- (ii) $\limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{A}} m_{\delta}^S(f) = 0$.

For precompactness in $\mathcal{D}_{[s,u]}(\mathcal{X})$, one in addition needs

- (iii) $\limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{A}} \sup_{t \leq s + \delta} d(f(s), f(t)) = 0$,
- (iv) $\limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{A}} \sup_{t \geq u - \delta} d(f(t), f(u)) = 0$,

as proved by Skorohod (1956).

Unbounded time intervals

Billingsley (1968), Ethier & Kurtz (1986), and Whitt (2002) have extended the J1 topology to $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(\mathcal{X})$ by showing that there exists a metric d'_S such that $d'_S(f_n, f) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \Leftrightarrow$

$$d_S(f_n|_{[0,t]}, f|_{[0,t]}) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad \forall t > 0 \text{ s.t. } f(t-) = f(t),$$

where $f|_{[0,t]}$ denotes the restriction of f to $[0, t]$.

Note The map $f \mapsto f|_{[0,t]}$ is not continuous.

Def *squeezed space* $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{X}) := (\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{X}) \cup \{(-\infty, *), (\infty, *)\}$.

Lemma There exists a metric d_{sqz} on $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{X})$ such that $d((t_n, x_n), (t, x)) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0 \Leftrightarrow$

- (i) $t_n \rightarrow t$ in the topology on $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$,
- (ii) if $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then also $x_n \rightarrow x$ in the topology on \mathcal{X} .

Proof Let $d_{\overline{\mathbb{R}}}$ generate the topology on $\overline{\mathbb{R}} = [-\infty, \infty]$.
Let $\varphi : \overline{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfy $\varphi(t) > 0 \Leftrightarrow t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then $d_{\text{sqz}}((s, x), (t, y)) :=$
 $(\varphi(s) \wedge \varphi(t)) (d(x, y) \wedge 1) + |\varphi(s) - \varphi(t)| + d_{\overline{\mathbb{R}}}(s, t)$
does the trick. ■

Idea: care less about spatial distances
when the time coordinates are large.

Lemma

If (\mathcal{X}, d) is separable, then so is $(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{X}), d_{\text{sqz}})$.

If (\mathcal{X}, d) is complete, then so is $(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{X}), d_{\text{sqz}})$.

Lemma

$A \subset \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{X})$ is precompact \Leftrightarrow

$\forall T < \infty \exists$ compact $C \subset \mathcal{X}$

such that $x \in C \quad \forall (t, x) \in A, t \in [-T, T]$.

For each $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ set $I_{\pm} := \{t_{\pm} : t \in I\}$.

By definition, a *path* is a pair (I, f) , where $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is closed and $f : I_{\pm} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is continuous. For brevity, write $f = (I, f)$ and $I(f) = I$.

Def The *closed graph* of a path is

$$\mathcal{G}(f) := \{(t, x) : t \in I(f), x \in \{f(t-), f(t+)\}\} \\ \cup \{(-\infty, *), (\infty, *)\}.$$

Naturally $\mathcal{G}(f) \in \mathcal{K}_{\text{tot}}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{X}))$.

Equip the *path space* $\Pi(\mathcal{X})$ with the metric

$$d_{\text{tot}}^{\text{S}}(f, g) := d_{\text{tot}}(\mathcal{G}(f), \mathcal{G}(g)).$$

Proposition Restricted to $\mathcal{D}_{[0, \infty)}(\mathcal{X})$, this generates the topology of Billingsley, Ethier & Kurtz, and Whitt.

Advantages of this approach:

- ▶ Comparison of functions with different domains.
- ▶ No need to interpolate.
- ▶ No need to extrapolate.

Example Let $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a random walk in the domain of attraction of an α -stable Lévy process $L = (L_t)_{t \geq 0}$. Define $X^\varepsilon \in \Pi(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$X_{\varepsilon^\alpha n}^\varepsilon := \varepsilon X_n \quad \text{with domain} \quad \{\varepsilon^\alpha n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{P}[X^\varepsilon \in \cdot] \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P}[L \in \cdot],$$

where \Rightarrow denotes weak convergence of probability laws on $\Pi(\mathbb{R})$.

Theorem If \mathcal{X} is a Polish space, then so is $\Pi(\mathcal{X})$, equipped with the J1 topology.

For each $T < \infty$ and $\delta > 0$, define

$$m_{T,\delta}^S(f) := \sup_{\substack{\tau_1 \leq \tau_2 \leq \tau_3 \\ -T \leq \tau_1, \tau_3 \leq T \\ \tau_3 - \tau_1 \leq \delta}} d(f(\tau_2), \{f(\tau_1), f(\tau_3)\}).$$

Theorem $\mathcal{A} \subset \Pi(\mathcal{X})$ is precompact \Leftrightarrow

- (i) $\forall T < \infty \exists$ compact $C \subset \mathcal{X}$ s.t. $f(t \pm) \in C$
 $\forall f \in \mathcal{A}, t \in I(f) \cap [-T, T]$,
- (ii) $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{A}} m_{T,\delta}^S(f) = 0 \quad \forall T < \infty$.

Def a *betweenness* on \mathcal{X} is a function that assigns to each pair x, z of elements of \mathcal{X} a subset $\langle x, z \rangle$ of \mathcal{X} , such that:

- (i) $\langle x, z \rangle = \langle z, x \rangle$,
- (ii) $x \in \langle x, z \rangle$,
- (iii) $y \in \langle x, z \rangle \Rightarrow \langle x, y \rangle \cap \langle y, z \rangle = \{y\}$,
- (iv) $y \in \langle x, z \rangle \Rightarrow \langle x, y \rangle \cup \langle y, z \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle$.

Def total order \leq on the *segment* $\langle x, z \rangle$ by

$$y \leq y' \Leftrightarrow \langle x, y \rangle \subset \langle x, y' \rangle.$$

Def a betweenness is *compatible with the topology* if $\langle x, z \rangle$ is compact and $\mathcal{X}^2 \ni (x, z) \mapsto \langle x, z \rangle \in \mathcal{K}_{\text{tot}}(\mathcal{X})$ is continuous.

Linear betweenness If \mathcal{X} is a topological vector space, then $\langle x, z \rangle := \{(1 - p)x + pz : p \in [0, 1]\}$ is a betweenness that is compatible with the topology.

Trivial betweenness $\langle x, z \rangle := \{x, z\}$ is always a betweenness that is compatible with the topology.

Geodesic betweenness If (\mathcal{X}, d) has unique geodesics, then letting $\langle x, z \rangle$ denote the geodesic with endpoints x, z defines a betweenness. If closed balls are compact, then this betweenness is compatible with the topology.

Order betweenness If $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}$ is closed, then $\langle x, z \rangle := \{y : x \leq y \leq z \text{ or } z \leq y \leq x\}$ is a betweenness that is compatible with the topology.

The M1 topology

Assume that \mathcal{X} is equipped with a betweenness that is compatible with the topology.

Def The *filled graph* of a path is

$$\mathcal{G}(f) := \{(t, x) : t \in I(f), x \in \langle f(t-), f(t+) \rangle\} \\ \cup \{(-\infty, *), (\infty, *)\}.$$

Naturally $\mathcal{G}(f) \in \mathcal{K}_{\text{tot}}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{X}))$.

Equip the path space $\Pi(\mathcal{X})$ with the metric

$$d_{\text{tot}}^{\text{S}}(f, g) := d_{\text{tot}}(\mathcal{G}(f), \mathcal{G}(g)).$$

For the trivial betweenness, this yields the J1 topology.
For the linear betweenness, this yields the M1 topology.

Fix a betweenness on \mathcal{X} that is compatible with the topology and equip $\Pi(\mathcal{X})$ with the associated Skorohod topology. Then:

Theorem If \mathcal{X} is a Polish space, then so is $\Pi(\mathcal{X})$.

For each $T < \infty$ and $\delta > 0$, define

$$m_{T,\delta}^S(f) := \sup_{\substack{\tau_1 \leq \tau_2 \leq \tau_3 \\ -T \leq \tau_1, \tau_3 \leq T \\ \tau_3 - \tau_1 \leq \delta}} d(f(\tau_2), \langle f(\tau_1), f(\tau_3) \rangle).$$

Theorem $\mathcal{A} \subset \Pi(\mathcal{X})$ is precompact \Leftrightarrow

- (i) $\forall T < \infty \exists$ compact $C \subset \mathcal{X}$ s.t. $f(t_{\pm}) \in C$
 $\forall f \in \mathcal{A}, t \in I(f) \cap [-T, T],$
- (ii) $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{A}} m_{T,\delta}^S(f) = 0 \quad \forall T < \infty.$