

Random graphs and networks

Jan M. Swart

April 17, 2019

The Configuration Model

Def $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$.

In deterministic $\text{CM}_n(d)$, for each n , fix degrees d_1, \dots, d_n . $(d_1^{(n)}, \dots, d_n^{(n)})$.
Choose U unif. in $[n]$, def $D_n := d_U$.

Assume $\exists D$ s.t.

- $\mathbb{P}[D_n \in \cdot] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \mathbb{P}[D \in \cdot]$,
- $\mathbb{E}[D_n] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \mathbb{E}[D]$,
- $\mathbb{E}[D_n^2] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \mathbb{E}[D^2]$.

In $\text{CM}_n(d)$ with i.i.d. degrees, choose d_1, \dots, d_n i.i.d. $d_i \stackrel{d}{=} D$.

If U indep. of (d_i) , then $D_n := d_U \stackrel{d}{=} d_1$.

Def $\text{CM}_n(d)$ *random graph*:

- If $\sum_{i \in [n]} d_i$ not even, add one to d_n .
- $\forall i$, draw d_i half-edges out of i .
- Enumerate the half edges.
- Pair the first half edge to a unif chosen free partner.
- Continue till no half-edges left.

Result: multigraph: may contain multiple edges and loops.

Def $\ell_n := \sum_{i \in [n]} d_i$.

Let $H :=$ set of half-edges. $H = \{h_i : i \in [\ell_n]\}$ enumeration of half-edges.

- (i) Each matching of H has probab. $\frac{1}{\ell_n-1} \frac{1}{\ell_n-3} \cdots 1 = \frac{1}{(\ell_n-1)!!}$.
- (ii) Uniform matching.

- (iii) Law of $\text{CM}_n(d)$ independent of enumeration of H .
- (iv) $\text{CM}_n(d)$ not uniform in set of multigraphs with prescribed degrees.
Example: $\text{CM}_2(3, 3)$.
- (v) $\text{CM}_n(d)$ conditioned on being simple is uniform in set of graphs on $[n]$ with prescribed degrees. Proof Number the half-edges. Then for each simple graph with prescribed d_i 's there are $\prod_{i=1}^n d_i!$ corresponding matchings.

Loops and multiple edges

Proposition 7.13 Assume $\mathbb{E}[D_n^2] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[D^2] < \infty$.

Let $\nu := \mathbb{E}[\frac{1}{2}D(D-1)]/E[D]$.

$$S_n := \#\text{self-loops} \quad M_n := \#\text{multiple edges}.$$

Then $(S_n, M_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} (S, M)$, where S, M independent Poisson with mean ν resp. ν^2 .

Proof idea

Number vertices $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Number half-edges at given vertex $1 \leq s \leq d_i$.

$\mathcal{I}_1 := \{(st, i) : 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq s < t \leq d_i\}$ pairs of half-edges that can form a loop.

$$|\mathcal{I}_1| = m_n := \sum_{i \in [n]} \frac{1}{2} d_i(d_i - 1).$$

$I_{st,i}$ indicator loop (st, i) present.

$$\mathbb{E}[I_{st,i}] = (\ell_n - 1)^{-1} \text{ with } \ell_n := \sum_{i \in [n]} \frac{1}{2} d_i.$$

“Almost independence” \Rightarrow # loops \approx Poisson with mean

$$\approx \frac{m_n}{\ell_n} = \frac{\sum_{i \in [n]} \frac{1}{2} d_i(d_i - 1)}{\sum_{i \in [n]} \frac{1}{2} d_i} = \frac{n^{-1} \sum_{i \in [n]} \frac{1}{2} d_i(d_i - 1)}{n^{-1} \sum_{i \in [n]} \frac{1}{2} d_i} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \nu.$$

$\mathcal{I}_2 := \{(s_1 t_1, s_2 t_2, i, j) : 1 \leq i < j \leq n, 1 \leq s_1 < s_2 \leq d_i, 1 \leq t_1 \neq t_n \leq d_j\}$ possibilities to form a double edge.

$$|\mathcal{I}_2| \approx 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} m_n(m_n - 1) \approx m_n^2.$$

$I_{s_1 t_1, s_2 t_2, i, j}$ indicator multiple edge $(s_1 t_1, s_2 t_2, i, j)$ present.

$$\mathbb{E}[I_{s_1 t_1, s_2 t_2, i, j}] = \frac{1}{(\ell_n - 1)(\ell_n - 3)}.$$

“Almost independence” \Rightarrow # multiple edges \approx Poisson with mean $\approx \frac{m_n^2}{\ell_n^2} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \nu^2$.

Precise proof

$$S_n := \sum_{m \in \mathcal{I}_1} I_m \quad M_n := \sum_{m \in \mathcal{I}_2} I_m \quad \text{number of loops and multiple edges.}$$

$$(X)_r := X(X-1)\cdots(X-r+1) \quad \text{factorial moment.}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[(S_n)_r] &= \sum_{m_1 \in \mathcal{I}_1} \sum_{m_2 \in \mathcal{I}_1 \setminus \{m_1\}} \cdots \sum_{m_r \in \mathcal{I}_1 \setminus \{m_1, \dots, m_{r-1}\}} \mathbb{P}[I_{m_1} = \cdots = I_{m_r} = 1] \\ &=: \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_r \in \mathcal{I}_1}^* \mathbb{P}[I_{m_1} = \cdots = I_{m_r} = 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.6 $\mathbb{E}[(X_n)_r] \rightarrow \lambda^r$ ($r \geq 1$) implies $X_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \text{Pois}(\lambda)$.

Similarly, $\mathbb{E}[(X_n)_s(Y_n)_r] \rightarrow \lambda^2 \mu^r$ implies $(X_n, Y_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} (\text{Pois}(\lambda), (\text{Pois}(\mu)))$.

Need to control, for $m_{1,1}, \dots, m_{1,s} \in \mathcal{I}_1$ and $m_{2,1}, \dots, m_{2,s} \in \mathcal{I}_1$

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}[I_{m_{1,1}} = \cdots = I_{m_{1,s}} = I_{m_{2,1}} = \cdots = I_{m_{2,r}} = 1] \\ &= \frac{1}{(\ell_n - 1)(\ell_n - 3) \cdots (\ell_n - 1 - 2s - 4r)}, \end{aligned}$$

or = 0 if events incompatible, i.e., want same half-edge to connect to two different half-edges. Diligent counting completes the proof. \square

The Erased Configuration Model

Def $D_n^{\text{er}} :=$ degree of $U_n \in [n]$ after erasing loops and multiple edges.

Theorem 7.10 Assume $\mathbb{E}[D_n] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \mathbb{E}[D] < \infty$. Then $D_n^{\text{er}} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} D$.

Proof Need to show no loops and multiple edges at U_n as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\mathbb{E}[\# \text{ loops at } U_n \mid D_n = k] = \frac{\frac{1}{2}k(k-1)}{\ell_n - 1} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[\exists \text{ loop at } U_n] &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{\frac{1}{2}k(k-1)}{\ell_n - 1} \mathbb{P}[D_n \leq k] + \mathbb{P}[D_n > k] \right\} \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}[D > k] \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $d_i = k$, then

$$\mathbb{E}[\# \text{ multiple edges at } i] = \frac{1}{(\ell_n - 1)(\ell_n - 3)} \frac{1}{2}k(k-1) \sum_{j \in [n] \setminus \{i\}} d_j(d_j - 1).$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[\exists \text{ multiple edge at } U_n] \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{2}k(k-1) \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{\ell_n^2} \sum_{i \in [n]} d_i^2 \right)}_{=: P_n} \mathbb{P}[D_n \leq k] + \mathbb{P}[D_n > k] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

P_n = probab. two unif. chosen half-edges are in same vertex.

Let $p_n(k) := \mathbb{P}[D_n = k]$ and let $\mathbb{P}[\hat{D}_n = k] := \hat{p}_n(k) := \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}[D_n]} k p_n(k)$ size-biased law. Then

$$P_n = \sum_k \hat{p}_n(m) \frac{m}{\ell_n} \leq \left\{ \frac{m}{\ell_n} \mathbb{P}[\hat{D}_n \leq m] + \mathbb{P}[\hat{D}_n > m] \right\}$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[\exists \text{ multiple edge at } U_n] \leq \frac{1}{2} k(k-1) \mathbb{P}[\hat{D} > m] + \mathbb{P}[D > k].$$

First $m \rightarrow \infty$, then $k \rightarrow \infty$ gives ≤ 0 . □

Conditioning i.i.d. (d_i) on $d_i \leq a_n$ with $a_n \rightarrow \infty$ has no influence on the limit law of D_n .

Theorem 7.22 Assume $\mathbb{P}[D_n \leq a_n] = 1$ with $a_n = o(n)$. Then $D_n^{\text{er}} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} D$.

Proof W.l.o.g. $d_i \geq 1$ for all i . Then $\ell_n \geq n$ and hence

$$P_n \leq \left\{ \frac{a_n}{\ell_n} \mathbb{P}[\hat{D}_n \leq a_n] + \mathbb{P}[\hat{D}_n > a_n] \right\} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0.$$

□

Consequence We can construct erased configuration models with arbitrary degree distribution.

Heavy tails

Theorem 7.24 Assume $(d_i)_{i \in [n]}$ i.i.d. with

$$\mathbb{P}[D \geq k] = k^{1-\tau} L(k),$$

where $\tau \in (1, 2)$ and L *slowly varying*, i.e., $L(ck)/L(k) \rightarrow 1$ for all $c > 0$. Then

$$\mathbb{P}[D_n^{\text{er}} = k] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \mathbb{P}[D^{\text{er}} = k] \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbb{P}[D^{\text{er}} \leq k] \leq ck^{-1}$$

for some $c < \infty$.

Note This says \approx the limit law has $\tau \geq 2$.

Conjecture D^{er} has $\tau = 2$.

Proof Order the degrees as $d_{(1)} \geq d_{(2)} \geq d_{(3)} \geq \dots$.

Theorem 2.33 says that there exists a u_n of the form $u_n = n^{1/(\tau-1)} l_n$ with l_n slowly varying, s.t.

$$\frac{1}{u_n} (\ell_n, d_{(1)}, d_{(2)}, d_{(3)}, \dots) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} (\eta, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \dots),$$

where $\{\xi_1 > \xi_2 > \dots\}$ is Poisson point set on $[0, \infty)$ with intensity measure $\mu([x, \infty)) = x^{1-\tau}$ and $\eta := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \xi_j$.

Let Q be the random probab. law defined $Q_j := \xi_j/\eta$.

Conditional on Q , let I_1, I_2, \dots be i.i.d. with law Q and let

$$K(m, k) := \mathbb{P}[\#\Delta_m = k] \quad \text{with} \quad \Delta_m : \{i : \exists 1 \leq l \leq m \text{ s.t. } I_l = i\}$$

Thm 7.23 says that

$$\mathbb{P}[D_n^{\text{er}} = k] \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[D^{\text{er}} = k] := \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p_m K(m, k).$$

“Proof” All half edges at a typical vertex connect to vertices of high degree. Now $K(m, k) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[D_n^{\text{er}} = k \mid D_n = m]$.

Missing lemma $\#\Delta_m \sim cm^{\tau-1}$ with high probability.

Consequence $D^{\text{er}} \approx D^{\tau-1}$ when both are large, so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[D^{\text{er}} \geq k] &\approx \mathbb{P}[D^{\tau-1} \geq k] = \mathbb{P}[D \geq k^{1/(\tau-1)}] \\ &= (k^{1/(\tau-1)})^{1-\tau} L(k^{1/(\tau-1)}) = k^{-1} L'(k) \end{aligned}$$

with L, L' slowly varying.

Proof of Lemma? Divide the interval $[0, \eta]$ in pieces of length ξ_1, ξ_2, \dots . Choose m points uniformly on $[0, \eta]$. Then $\#\Delta_m$ is the number of intervals that contains at least one point. For large m , the m points look like a Poisson points set with intensity m/η , so

$$\mathbb{E}[\#\Delta_m] \approx \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{-(m\xi_j/\eta)})\right] \approx \int_0^{\infty} (1 - e^{-\frac{m}{\eta}x}) \mu(dx).$$

Forgetting about multiplicative constants,

$$\approx \int_0^{1/m} x \mu(dx) + \int_{1/m}^{\infty} \mu(dx) \approx \int_0^{1/m} x \cdot x^{-\tau} dx + (1/m)^{1-\tau} \approx m^{\tau-2} + m^{\tau-1}.$$

If we believe the law of $\#\Delta_m$ to be concentrated near its mean, then this “proves” the lemma. \square