IV Spatial Models in Population Biology

Jan M. Swart

Lecture 4: Mean-field duality

Jan M. Swart Spatial Models in Population Biology

Image: A image: A

Plan:

- The mean-field ODE
- A recursive tree representation
- Endogeny
- The multivariate ODE
- A higher-level ODE

With *cooperative branching* as a running example.

The mean-field ODE

Let S be a Polish space, let \mathcal{G} me a collection of measurable maps $g: S^k \to S$ with $k = k_g \ge 0$, and let $(r_g)_{g \in \mathcal{G}}$ be nonnegative rates. We view S^0 as a set with a single element, i.e., $k_g = 0$ means the function g is constant.

Let $[N] := \{1, ..., N\}$ and $[N]^{\langle k \rangle} := \{ \mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_k) \in [N]^k : i_m \neq i_n \ \forall n \neq m \},\$ which has $N^{\langle k \rangle} := N(N-1) \cdots (N-k+1)$ elements. For $g: S^k \to S$, N > k, $\mathbf{i} \in [N]^{(\langle k \rangle)}$, and $j \in [N]$, define $\varphi^{\mathbf{i},j}: S^N \to S^N$ by $g^{\mathbf{i},j}x(j') := \begin{cases} g(x(i_1), \dots, x(i_k)) & \text{if } j' = j, \\ x(j') & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

・ロン ・回 と ・ 回 と ・ 回 と

The Markov process $(X_t^N)_{t\geq 0}$ with state space S^N and generator

$$Gf(x) := \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} r_g \sum_{j \in [N]} \frac{1}{N^{\langle k \rangle}} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in [N]^{\langle k \rangle}} \left\{ f((g^{\mathbf{i},j}x) - f((x)) \right\}$$

can be constructed in a Poissonian way as before, leading to a stochastic flow $(X_{s,t}^N)_{s \le t}$. As before, the *empirical process*

$$\mu_t^{N} := \mu[X_t^{N}] \quad (t \ge 0) \quad \text{with} \quad \mu[x] := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in [N]} \delta_{x(i)}$$

is a Markov process. In the mean-field limit $N \to \infty$, we expect $(\mu_t^N)_{t\geq 0}$ to be close to the solution of an ODE.

Let $\mathcal{M}^1(S)$ denote the space of all probability measures on S, equipped with the topology of weak convergence and the Borel- σ -algebra.

For each measurable map $g:S^k\to S$, we define a measurable map $\check{g}:\mathcal{M}^1(S)^k\to\mathcal{M}^1(S)$ by

$$\check{g}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k) := \mathbb{P}[g(X_1, \dots, X_k) \in \cdot]$$

where X_1, \dots, X_k are indep. with $\mathbb{P}[X_i \in \cdot] = \mu_i$.

We also define $T_g: \mathcal{M}^1(S) o \mathcal{M}^1(S)$ by

$$T_g(\mu) := \check{g}(\mu, \ldots, \mu).$$

Note that T_g is in general nonlinear, unless k = 1.

The mean-field ODE

Theorem [Mach, Sturm & S. '18] Assume that

$$\sum_{g\in\mathcal{G}}r_gk_g<\infty.$$

Then, for each initial state $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{M}^1(S)$, the mean-field ODE

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mu_t = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \left\{ T_g(\mu_t) - \mu_t \right\} \qquad (t \ge 0) \tag{1}$$

has a unique solution. Here, writing $\langle \mu, \phi \rangle := \int \phi \, d\mu$, we interpret (1) in a weak sense: for each bounded measurable $\phi : S \to \mathbb{R}$, the function $t \mapsto \langle \mu_t, \phi \rangle$ is continuously differentiable and

$$rac{\partial}{\partial t}\langle \mu_t,\phi
angle = \sum_{oldsymbol{g}\in\mathcal{G}}\left\{\langle T_{oldsymbol{g}}(\mu_t),\phi
angle - \langle \mu_t,\phi
angle
ight\} \qquad (t\geq 0).$$

高 とう モン・ く ヨ と

Theorem [Mach, Sturm & S. '18] Assume that $\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} r_g k_g < \infty$ and let S be finite. Let $(\mu_t^N)_{t \ge 0}$ be empirical processes such that $\mu_0^N \to \mu_0$ for some $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{M}^1(S)$. Then

$$\mathbb{P}\big[\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\|\mu^{N}(t)-\mu_{t}\|\geq \varepsilon\big]\underset{N\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}0\qquad\forall \varepsilon>0,\ T<\infty,$$

where $(\mu_t)_{t\geq 0}$ solves the mean-field ODE (1).

Note Something similar should hold for infinite (even uncountable) *S*, at least when $(X_0^N(1), \ldots, X_0^N(N))$ are i.i.d. with law μ_0 .

向下 イヨト イヨト

Remark We could be more general and also consider maps

$$S^k
i (x_1, \ldots, x_k) \mapsto (g_1(x_1, \ldots, x_k), g_2(x_1, \ldots, x_k)) \in S^2,$$

and similarly with S^2 replaced by S^m ($m \ge 1$). However, applying such a map with rate r has for the mean-field ODE the same effect as applying the maps g_1 and g_2 each with rate r.

Also, in our definition of $g^{i,j}$, we could have chosen $j \in \{i_1, \ldots, i_k\}$, e.g. $j = i_1$ always. Again, although this yields a different Markov process, for the mean-field ODE this has no effect.

白 とう きょう きょう

Cooperative branching

Let
$$S = \{0,1\}$$
 and $\mathcal{G} = \{\texttt{coop},\texttt{death}\}$, where
coop : $\{0,1\}^3 \to \{0,1\}$ and death : $\{0,1\}^0 \to \{0,1\}$
are defined as

$$\operatorname{coop}(x_1,x_2,x_3):=x_1\vee(x_2\wedge x_3) \ \ \text{and} \ \ \operatorname{death}(\):=0.$$

A probability measure μ on $\{0,1\}$ is uniquely determined by $\mu(\{1\})$. Setting $\overline{X}_t := \mu_t(\{1\})$ and choosing the rates

$$r_{\texttt{coop}} := \alpha \quad \texttt{and} \quad r_{\texttt{death}} := 1,$$

we find the mean-field ODE

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\overline{X}_t = \alpha \overline{X}_t^2 (1 - \overline{X}_t) - \overline{X}_t =: F_\alpha(\overline{X}_t).$$





Cooperative branching



For $\alpha > 4$, there are two stable fixed points and one unstable fixed point, which separates the domains of attraction of the other two.

∃ >

Cooperative branching



Fixed points of $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\overline{X}_t = F_{\alpha}(\overline{X}_t)$ for different values of α .

・ロン ・回と ・ヨン・

Э

In physics notation for reaction-diffusion models, cooperative branching is denoted as $2A \mapsto 3A$. This sort of dynamics, together with $3A \mapsto 2A$, was already considered by *F. Schlögl* [Z. Phys. 1972]. Lebowiz, Presutti and Spohn [JSP 1988] call this binary reproduction.

C. Noble [AOP 1992], R. Durrett [JAP 1992], and C. Neuhauser and S.W. Pacala [AAP 1999] call a model with cooperative branching and deaths the sexual reproduction process.

The unstable fixed point says that in well-mixing populations, once the population drops below a critical level, it becomes so hard for organisms to find a partner that the population dies out. This effect is also responsible for the first order (discontinuous) phase transition - at least in well-mixing populations.

Recall the Markov process

 $(\mathcal{R}_i(\mathbf{X}_{s-t,t}))_{t\geq 0}$

that traces back in time all sites at time s - t that are relevant for the state at the site *i* at time *s*.



・ロン ・回 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と



・ロン ・回 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と



・ロン ・回 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と



・ロン ・回 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と



・ロン ・回 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と



・ロン ・回 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と

In the mean-field limit,

 $(\mathcal{R}_i(\mathbf{X}_{s-t,t}))_{t\geq 0}$

converges to a branching process.

< ≣ >

< ≣⇒



▲□→ ▲ □→ ▲ □→

Э

Let $\overline{\mathbb{T}}$ be the set of all finite words $\mathbf{i} = i_1 \cdots i_n \ (n \ge 0)$ made up from the alphabet $\mathbb{N}_+ = \{1, 2, \ldots\}$. We view $\overline{\mathbb{T}}$ as a tree with root \emptyset , the word of length zero, in which each individual \mathbf{i} has infinitely many offspring $\mathbf{i}1, \mathbf{i}2, \ldots$

Let $|r| := \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} r_g$ and let $(\gamma_i)_{i \in \overline{\mathbb{T}}}$ be i.i.d. with law

$$\mathbb{P}[\gamma_{\mathbf{i}} = g] = |r|^{-1} r_g \qquad (g \in \mathcal{G}).$$

We inductively define a random subtree $\mathbb{T}\subset\overline{\mathbb{T}}$ which contains the root and satisfies

$$\mathbf{i} j \in \mathbb{T}$$
 iff $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{T}$ and $j \leq k$,

where $k = k_i := k_{\gamma_i}$ is the integer such that $\gamma_i : S^k \to S$. Then \mathbb{T} is the family tree of a branching process with maps $(\gamma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{T}}$ attached to its vertices, such that the individual **i** has k_i offspring.

For any subtree $\mathbb{U}\subset\mathbb{T}$ that contains the root, we write

 $\partial \mathbb{U} := \{ \mathbf{i} j \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{U} : \mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{U} \}.$

Let $(\sigma_i)_{i\in\overline{\mathbb{T}}}$ be i.i.d. exponentially distributed random variables with mean $|r|^{-1}$, independent of $(\gamma_i)_{i\in\overline{\mathbb{T}}}$. We interpret σ_i as the *lifetime* of **i** and let

$$\tau^*_{i_1\cdots i_n} := \sigma_{\emptyset} + \sigma_{i_1} + \cdots + \sigma_{i_1\cdots i_{n-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{i}} := \tau^*_{\mathbf{i}} + \sigma_{\mathbf{i}}$$

denote its birth and death time. Then

$$\mathbb{T}_t := \{ \mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{T} : au_{\mathbf{i}}^\dagger \leq t \}$$
 and $\partial \mathbb{T}_t$

denote the set of individuals that have died before time t resp. are alive at time t. In particular,

$$\left(\partial \mathbb{T}_t\right)_{t\geq 0}$$

is a branching process where each individual **i** gives with rate r_g birth to k_g offspring, for each $g \in \mathcal{G}$.

Given a finite subtree $\mathbb{U} \subset \overline{\mathbb{T}}$ that contains the root, we define a map $G_{\mathbb{U}}: S^{\partial \mathbb{U}} \to S$ by

 $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{U}} := x_{\emptyset} \quad \text{where} \quad (x_{\mathbf{i}})_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{U}} \text{ satisfy } x_{\mathbf{i}} = \gamma_{\mathbf{i}}(x_{\mathbf{i}1}, \dots, x_{\mathbf{i}k_{\mathbf{i}}}) \quad (\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{U}).$

In particular, we set $G_t := G_{\mathbb{T}_t}$.

Theorem [Mach, Sturm & S. '18] Assume that $\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} r_g k_g < \infty$. Then the solution to the mean-field equation (1) is given by

$$\mu_t = \mathbb{E}\big[T_{G_t}(\mu_0)\big] \qquad (t \ge 0),$$

i.e., $\mu_t = \mathbb{P}[X_{\emptyset} \in \cdot]$ where $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{T}_t \cup \partial \mathbb{T}_t}$ satisfy (i) $(X_i)_{i \in \partial \mathbb{T}_t}$ are i.i.d. with law μ_0 and independent of $(\gamma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{T}_t}$. (ii) $X_i = \gamma_i(X_{i1}, \ldots, X_{ik_i})$ $(i \in \mathbb{T}_t)$.

- 사례가 사용가 사용가 구용



▲ 御 ▶ → ミ ▶

- ★ 臣 ▶ - - 臣

In the special case that $k_g = 1$ for each $g \in \mathcal{G}$, the mean-field ODE (1) is just the backward equation of a continuous-time Markov chain where each map $g \in \mathcal{G}$ is applied with Poisson rate r_g .

We can think of the collection of random variables $(\gamma_i, \sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{T}}$ as a generalization of the Poisson construction of a continuous-time Markov chain, where "time" now has a tree-like structure.

We let

$$\mathcal{F}_t := \sigma\big((\partial \mathbb{T}_s)_{0 \le s \le t}, \ (\gamma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{T}_t}\big)$$

denote the filtration generated by the branching process $(\partial \mathbb{T}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ as well as the maps attached to the particles that have died by time *t*.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Unique ergodicity

Lemma Assume that

$$\mathsf{R} := \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} r_g(k_g - 1)$$

satisfies R < 0. Then the mean-field ODE (1) has a unique fixed point ν and solutions started in an arbitrary initial law μ_0 satisfy

$$\|\mu_t - \nu\| \underset{t \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0,$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the total variation norm.

Proof The condition R < 0 guarantees that $(\partial \mathbb{T}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a subcritical branching process and hence the tree \mathbb{T} is a.s. finite. Now $\partial \mathbb{T} = \emptyset$ and $G_{\mathbb{T}} : S^0 \to S$ is a random constant that depends on the random finite tree \mathbb{T} . Setting $\nu := \mathbb{P}[G_{\mathbb{T}} \in \cdot]$, the statement follows by observing that

$$G_t = G_{\mathbb{T}_t} \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{} G_{\mathbb{T}}$$
 a.s.

Unique ergodicity

For our process with cooperative branching and deaths,

$$R = \alpha \cdot (3-1) + 1 \cdot 0 = 2\alpha,$$

which implies that the mean-field ODE has a unique attractive fixed point for $\alpha < 1/2.$

This is not very good compared to the necessary and sufficient condition $\alpha < 4$ that came out of our earlier analysis of the ODE, but the proof of the previous lemma actually works more generally: Lemma Assume that

$$\mathbb{P}ig[\exists t < \infty \text{ s.t. } G_t \text{ is constant}ig] = 1.$$

Then the mean-field ODE (1) has a unique fixed point ν and solutions started in an arbitrary initial law μ_0 satisfy

$$\|\mu_t - \nu\| \underset{t \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0,$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the total variation norm.

For our process with cooperative branching and deaths, say that $\mathbb{S}\subset\mathbb{T}$ is a *good subtree* if $\emptyset\in\mathbb{S}$ and

(i) $\gamma_{\mathbf{i}} \neq \texttt{death}$ for all $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{S}$

(ii) $\forall i \in \mathbb{S}, \{i1, i2, i3\} \cap \mathbb{S} \text{ is either } \{i1\} \text{ or } \{i2, i3\}.$

Lemma The following events are a.s. equal:

(i) \mathbb{T} contains a good subtree.

(ii) G_t is constant for some $t < \infty$.

Moreover, $\mathbb{P}[\mathbb{T} \text{ contains a good subtree}] > 0$ iff $\alpha \geq 4$.

高 とう モン・ く ヨ と



▲□→ ▲ □→ ▲ □→



□ > < ∃ >

<2 € > 2 €



● ▶ < ミ ▶

<2 € > 2 €



副 🕨 🗶 🖻 🕨 🖉 👘 👘

A Recursive Distributional Equation

Fixed points μ of the mean-field ODE (1) solve the *Recursive Distributional Equation (RDE)*

$$\mu = |\mathbf{r}|^{-1} \sum_{\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{G}} T_{\mathbf{g}}(\mu).$$
(2)

For each solution μ to the RDE, it is possible to define a collection of random variables $(\gamma_i, X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{T}}$ such that:

- (i) $(\gamma_i)_{i\in\overline{\mathbb{T}}}$ is an i.i.d. collection of \mathcal{G} -valued random variables with law $\mathbb{P}[\gamma_i = g] = |r|^{-1}r_g \ (g \in \mathcal{G})$.
- (ii) For each finite subtree $\mathbb{U} \subset \overline{\mathbb{T}}$ that contains the root, $(X_i)_{i \in \partial \mathbb{U}}$ are i.i.d. with common law μ and independent of $(\gamma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{U}}$.

(iii)
$$X_{\mathbf{i}} = \gamma_{\mathbf{i}}(X_{\mathbf{i}1}, \ldots, X_{\mathbf{i}k_{\mathbf{i}}}) \ (\mathbf{i} \in \overline{\mathbb{T}}).$$

Following Aldous and Bandyopadhyay (2005), we call such a collection of r.v.'s a *Recursive Tree Process (RTP)*.

▲□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ …
We can think of fixed points μ of the mean-field ODE (1) as a generalization of the invariant law of a (continuous-time) Markov chain.

Then a Recursive Tree Process (RTP) is a generalization of a stationary (continuous-time) Markov chain.

If we add independent exponentially distributed lifetimes $(\sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{T}}$ as before, then for each $t \ge 0$:

 $(X_i)_{i \in \partial \mathbb{T}_t}$ are *i.i.d.* with common law μ and independent of the σ -field \mathcal{F}_t of events measurable before time t.

向下 イヨト イヨト

Recall that the mean-field ODE (1) describes the Markov process $(X_t^N)_{t\geq 0}$ on the complete graph in the mean-field limit $N \to \infty$.

The Markov process $(X_t^N)_{t\geq 0}$ is defined in terms of a stochastic flow $(\mathbf{X}_{s,t}^N)_{s\leq t}$.

The stochastic flow $(X_{s,t}^N)_{s \le t}$ contains more information than the Markov process $(X_t^N)_{t \ge 0}$ alone; in particular, the stochastic flow provides us with a natural way of coupling processes with different initial states.

We would like to understand this coupling in the mean-field limit $N \to \infty$.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

For each measurable map $g: S^k \to S$ and $n \ge 1$, we define an *n*-variate map $g^{(n)}: (S^n)^k \to S^n$ by

$$g^{(n)}(x^1,\ldots,x^n):=ig(g(x^1),\ldots,g(x^n)ig) \qquad (x^1,\ldots,x^n\in S^k).$$

Let ${\mathcal G}$ and $(r_g)_{g\in {\mathcal G}}$ be as before. We will be interested in the n-variate ODE

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mu_t^{(n)} = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} r_g \left\{ T_{g^{(n)}}(\mu_t^{(n)}) - \mu_t^{(n)} \right\} \qquad (t \ge 0),$$

that describes the mean-field limit of n coupled Markov processes, that are constructed from the same stochastic flow but have different initial states.

3

Let $\mathcal{M}^1_{\mathrm{sym}}(S^n)$ be the space of all probability measures on S^n that are symmetric under a permutation of the coordinates. For any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^1(S)$, let $\mathcal{M}^1_{\mathrm{sym}}(S^n)_{\mu}$ be the set of all symmetric $\mu^{(n)}$ whose one-dimensional marginals are given by μ . Let $S^n_{\mathrm{diag}} := \{(x^1, \ldots, x^n) \in S^n : x^1 = \cdots = x^n\}.$

Observations

- If (µ_t⁽ⁿ⁾)_{t≥0} solves the *n*-variate ODE, then its *m*-dimensional marginals solve the *m*-variate ODE.
- $\mu_0^{(n)} \in \mathcal{M}^1_{\mathrm{sym}}(S^n)$ implies $\mu_t^{(n)} \in \mathcal{M}^1_{\mathrm{sym}}(S^n)$ $(t \ge 0)$.
- If $\mu^{(n)}$ solves the *n*-variate ODE, then $\mu_0^{(n)} \in \mathcal{M}^1_{sym}(S^n)_{\mu}$ implies $\mu_t^{(n)} \in \mathcal{M}^1_{sym}(S^n)_{\mu}$ $(t \ge 0)$.
- If $\mu_0^{(n)}$ is concentrated on S_{diag}^n then so is $\mu_t^{(n)}$ $(t \ge 0)$.

In particular, these observations show that if $\mu^{(n)}$ solves the *n*-variate RDE, then its marginals must solve the RDE (2). Conversely, if μ solves the RDE (2) and X is a random variable with law μ , then

$$\overline{\mu}^{(n)} := \mathbb{P}\big[(X,\ldots,X) \in \cdot\big]$$

solves the *n*-variate RDE.

Question Are all fixed points of the *n*-variate RDE of this form?

For our system with cooperative branching and deaths, set

$$z_{\rm low} := 0, \quad z_{\rm mid} := \frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{\alpha}}, \quad \text{and} \quad z_{\rm upp} := \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{\alpha}},$$

where $z_{\rm mid}$ and $z_{\rm upp}$ are only defined for $\alpha \ge 4$ and satisfy $z_{\rm mid} = z_{\rm upp}$ for $\alpha = 4$ and $z_{\rm mid} < z_{\rm upp}$ for $\alpha > 4$.

Let $\mu_{\rm low}, \mu_{\rm mid}, \mu_{\rm upp}$ be the measures on $\{0, 1\}$ with these intensities. Then $\mu_{\rm low}, \mu_{\rm mid}, \mu_{\rm upp}$ are all fixed points of the mean-field ODE (1).

The bivariate ODE for cooperative branching



Fixed points of $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\overline{X}_t = F_{\alpha}(\overline{X}_t)$ for different values of α .

・ 同・ ・ ヨ・

글 🕨 🛛 글

Proposition The measures $\overline{\mu}^{(2)}_{\rm low}, \overline{\mu}^{(2)}_{\rm mid}, \overline{\mu}^{(2)}_{\rm upp}$ defined as

$$\overline{\mu}^{(2)}_{ ext{low}} \coloneqq \mathbb{P}ig[(X,X) \in \,\cdot\,ig] \quad ext{with} \quad \mathbb{P}[X \in \,\cdot\,ig] = \mu_{ ext{low}}$$

etc. are fixed points of the bivariate ODE. In addition, for $\alpha > 4$, there exists one more fixed point $\underline{\mu}_{\mathrm{mid}}^{(2)} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{sym}}^1(\{0,1\}^2)$ that has marginals μ_{mid} but differs from $\overline{\mu}_{\mathrm{mid}}^{(2)}$.

Any solution $(\mu_t^{(2)})_{t\geq 0}$ to the bivariate ODE with $\mu_0^{(2)} \in \mathcal{M}^1_{sym}(\{0,1\})_{\mu_{mid}}$ and $\mu_0^{(2)} \neq \overline{\mu}^{(2)}_{mid}$ satisfies

$$\mu_t^{(2)} \underset{t \to \infty}{\Longrightarrow} \underline{\mu}_{\mathrm{mid}}^{(2)}.$$

The bivariate ODE for cooperative branching

Interpretation For large *N*, let $x, x' \in \{0, 1\}^N$ be initial states such that

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} x(i) = z_{\text{mid}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{N} x'(i),$$

and

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}_{\{\mathbf{x}(i) \neq \mathbf{x}'(i)\}} > 0,$$

but arbitrarily small. Then

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} {}^{1}\{\mathbf{X}_{0,t}^{N}(x)(i) \neq \mathbf{X}_{0,t}^{N}(x')(i)\}$$

converges in probability as $N \to \infty$ and then $t \to \infty$ to $\underline{\mu}_{\mathrm{mid}}^{(2)}(\{(0,1),(1,0)\}) > 0$. In particular, the evolution under the stochastic flow is *unstable* in the sense that small differences in the initial states are multiplied, provided the initial density is z_{mid} . Aldous and Bandyopadhyay (2005) call a Recursive Tree Process (RTP) $(\gamma_i, X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{T}}$ endogenous if

 X_{\emptyset} is measurable w.r.t. the σ -field generated by $(\gamma_{i})_{i \in \mathbb{T}}$.

Theorem [AB '05, MSS '18] For any solution μ to the RDE (2), the following statements are equivalent.

(i) The RTP corresponding to μ is endogenous.

(ii) The measure $\overline{\mu}^{(2)}$ is the only solution of the bivariate RDE in the space $\mathcal{M}^1_{\mathrm{sym}}(S^2)_{\mu}$.

(iii) Solutions to the *n*-variate ODE satisfy $\mu_t^{(n)} \Longrightarrow_{t \to \infty} \overline{\mu}^{(2)}$ for all $\mu_0^{(n)} \in \mathcal{M}^1_{sym}(S^2)_{\mu}$ and $n \ge 1$.

(本部) (本語) (本語) (語)

In our example of a system with cooperative branching and deaths, the RTPs corresponding to $\mu_{\rm low}$ and $\mu_{\rm upp}$ are endogenous, but for $\alpha > 4$, the RTP corresponding to $\mu_{\rm mid}$ is *not endogenous*.

Proposition [AB '05] Let S be a finite partially ordered set with minimal and maximal elements 0, 1. Assume that all maps $m \in \mathcal{G}$ are monotone. Let $(\mu_t^0)_{t\geq 0}$ and $(\mu_t^1)_{t\geq 0}$ be solutions to the mean-field ODE with initial states $\mu_0^0 = \delta_0$ and $\mu_0^1 = \delta_1$. Then there exist solutions $\underline{\nu}$ and $\overline{\nu}$ to the RDE (2) such that

$$\mu^0_t \underset{t \to \infty}{\Longrightarrow} \underline{\nu} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu^1_t \underset{t \to \infty}{\Longrightarrow} \overline{\nu}.$$

Moreover, the RTPs corresponding to $\underline{\nu}$ and $\overline{\nu}$ are endogenous.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Let ξ be a $\mathcal{M}^1(S)$ -valued random variable, i.e., a random probability measure on S, and let $\rho \in \mathcal{M}^1(\mathcal{M}^1(S))$ denote its law. Conditional on ξ , let X^1, \ldots, X^n be independent with law ξ . Then

$$\rho^{(n)} := \mathbb{P}[(X^1, \dots, X^n) \in \cdot] = \mathbb{E}[\underbrace{\xi \otimes \dots \otimes \xi}_{n \text{ times}}]$$

is called the *n*-th moment measure of ξ .

Then $\rho^{(n)} \in \mathcal{M}^1_{sym}(S^n)$ for each $\rho \in \mathcal{M}^1(\mathcal{M}^1(S))$. For $n = \infty$, De Finetti's theorem says that each element of $\mathcal{M}^1_{sym}(S^n)$ is of the form $\rho^{(n)}$ for some $\rho \in \mathcal{M}^1(\mathcal{M}^1(S))$.

Using this idea, we seek to define a *higher level* ODE that corresponds to the *n*-variate ODE with $n = \infty$.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

The higher-level ODE

Recall that for each measurable map $g: S^k \to S$, we have defined a measurable map $\check{g}: \mathcal{M}^1(S)^k \to \mathcal{M}^1(S)$ by

$$\check{g}(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_k) \coloneqq \mathbb{P}ig[g(X_1,\ldots,X_k)\in\,\cdot\,ig]$$

where X_1,\ldots,X_k are indep. with $\mathbb{P}[X_i\in\,\cdot\,]=\mu_i.$

In particular, $T_g: \mathcal{M}^1(S) o \mathcal{M}^1(S)$ is defined by

$$T_{g}(\mu) := \check{g}(\mu, \ldots, \mu).$$

The higher level ODE is the equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rho_t = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \left\{ T_{\check{g}}(\rho_t) - \rho_t \right\} \qquad (t \ge 0).$$

This differs from the mean-field ODE (1) in the sense that T_g is replaced by $T_{\check{g}}$ and ρ_t takes values in $\mathcal{M}^1(\mathcal{M}^1(S))$.

The higher-level ODE

Lemma If $(\rho_t)_{t\geq 0}$ solves the higher level ODE, then its *n*-th moment measures solve the *n*-variate ODE.

Below, we equip the space $\mathcal{M}^1(\mathcal{M}^1(S))_{\mu}$ of all $\rho \in \mathcal{M}^1(\mathcal{M}^1(S))$ with first moment measure $\rho^{(1)} = \mu$ with the *convex order* $\rho_1 \leq_{\mathrm{cv}} \rho_2$, defined as

$$\int \phi \, \mathrm{d} \rho_1 \leq \int \phi \, \mathrm{d} \rho_2 \quad \forall \text{ convex bounded contin. } \phi: \mathcal{M}^1(\mathcal{S}) \to \mathbb{R}.$$

Theorem [MSS '18] Let μ be a fixed point of the mean-field ODE (1). Then the higher-level ODE has fixed points $\underline{\mu}, \overline{\mu} \in \mathcal{M}^1(\mathcal{M}^1(S))_{\mu}$ that are minimal and maximal with respect to the convex order.

Remark One has $\rho_1 \leq_{cv} \rho_2$ iff there exists an *S*-valued random variable *X* on some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and sub- σ -fields $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2 \subset \mathcal{F}$ such that $\rho_i = \mathbb{P}[\mathbb{P}[X \in \cdot |\mathcal{F}_i] \in \cdot]$ (i = 1, 2).

Theorem [MSS '18] Let μ be a fixed point of the mean-field ODE (1). Let $(\gamma_i, X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{T}}$ be the RTP corresponding to μ . Set

$$\xi_{\mathbf{i}} := \mathbb{P}[X_{\mathbf{i}} \in \cdot | (\gamma_{\mathbf{ij}})_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{T}}].$$

Then

$$(\check{\gamma}_{\mathbf{i}}, \xi_{\mathbf{i}})_{\mathbf{i} \in \overline{\mathbb{T}}}$$
 and $(\check{\gamma}_{\mathbf{i}}, \delta_{X_{\mathbf{i}}})_{\mathbf{i} \in \overline{\mathbb{T}}}$

are RTPs corresponding to the fixed points $\underline{\mu}, \overline{\mu}$ of the higher-level ODE. The original RTP is endogenous if and only if $\underline{\mu} = \overline{\mu}$.

Remark 1 $\underline{\mu}$ and $\overline{\mu}$ correspond to minimal and maximal knowledge about X_{\emptyset} . The former describes the knowledge contained in $(\gamma_i)_{i\in\overline{\mathbb{T}}}$, the latter represents perfect knowledge.

Remark 2 $\overline{\mu}^{(n)} := \mathbb{P}[(X, \ldots, X) \in \cdot]$ in line with earlier notation.

(ロ) (同) (E) (E) (E)

The higher-level ODE

In our example of a system with cooperative branching and deaths, we identify

$$\mathcal{M}^1(\{0,1\})
i \mu\mapsto \mu(\{1\})\in [0,1]$$

and correspondingly $\mathcal{M}^1(\mathcal{M}^1(\{0,1\})) \cong \mathcal{M}^1[0,1]$. If g = coop, then $\check{g} : [0,1]^3 \to [0,1]$ is given by

$$\check{g}(\omega_1,\omega_2,\omega_3):=\mathbb{P}[X_1ee(X_2\wedge X_3)=1] \quad ext{with} \quad \mathbb{P}[X_i=1]=\omega_i,$$

which gives

$$\check{g}(\omega_1,\omega_2,\omega_3)=\omega_1+(1-\omega_1)\omega_2\omega_3$$

and, for any $\rho \in \mathcal{M}^1[0,1]$,

$$\mathcal{T}_{\breve{g}}(\rho) = \mathbb{P}\big[\omega_1 + (1 - \omega_1)\omega_2\omega_3 \in \,\cdot\,\big] \quad \text{with} \quad \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3 \text{ i.i.d. with law } \rho.$$

Proposition For our system with cooperative branching and deaths, for $\alpha > 4$, the higher-level ODE

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rho_t = \alpha \big\{ T_{\check{g}}(\rho) - \rho \big\} + \big\{ \delta_0 - \rho \big\}$$

has precisely four fixed points. Three trivial fixed points of the form

$$ho = (1-z)\delta_0 + z\delta_1$$
 with $z = z_{\mathrm{low}}, z_{\mathrm{mid}}, z_{\mathrm{upp}},$

and a nontrivial fixed point $\underline{\mu}_{mid}$ which is the law of the [0,1]-valued random variable

$$\mathbb{P}\big[X_{\emptyset} = 1 \,\big|\, (\gamma_{\mathbf{i}})_{\mathbf{i} \in \overline{\mathbb{T}}}\big]$$

where $(\gamma_{\mathbf{i}}, X_{\mathbf{i}})_{\mathbf{i} \in \overline{\mathbb{T}}}$ is the RTP with $\mathbb{P}[X_{\emptyset} = 1] = z_{\mathrm{mid}}$.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

The measure $\underline{\mu}_{mid}$ should be the limit of measures μ_n inductively defined as $\mu_0=\delta_{z_{mid}}$ and

$$\mu_n = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1} T_{\breve{g}}(\mu_{n-1}) + \frac{1}{\alpha+1} \delta_0.$$

We plot the distribution functions

$$F_n(s) := \mu_n([0,s]) \qquad (s \in [0,1])$$

for the parameters $\alpha = 9/2$, $z_{\rm mid} = 1/3$, $z_{\rm upp} = 2/3$ and various values of *n*.

御 と く き と く き と … き



@ ▶

く注→



● ▶ < ミ ▶

く注→



< 注→



● ▶ ● ●



@ ▶

< 注→



く注→



12

く注→



 く注♪



 く注♪



 く注→



 く注→



 く注→



< 🗗 >

< ≣⇒

★臣≯



● ▶ < ミ ▶

く注→



● ▶ < ミ ▶

く注→



● ▶ < ミ ▶

く注→



● ▶ < ミ ▶

く注→



● ▶ < ミ ▶

く注→


< 🗗 >

< ≣⇒

く注→



● ▶ < ミ ▶

く注→



@ ▶

く注→



Imp ► < E</p>

く注→



く注→



● ▶ ● ●



● ▶ ● ●



● ▶ ● ●