The Stigler-Luckock model with market makers

Jan M. Swart, Vít Peržina

Prague, January 7th, 2017.

Jan M. Swart, Vít Peržina The Stigler-Luckock model with market makers

• • = • • = •

Nowadays, demand and supply is often realized by electronic trading systems storing the information in databases. Traders with access to these databases quote their offers and their summary forms a **(limit) order book**. We define **order book** as a list of all limit orders (hence the occasional attribute **limit**), including information about their type, price and size in time.

伺下 イヨト イヨト

Let $I = (I_-, I_+)$ be a nonempty open interval of possible prices. Every finite counting measure can be written as a finite sum of delta measures.

At any time we represent state of the order book by a pair $(\mathcal{X}^-, \mathcal{X}^+)$ of counting measures on *I*, where we interpret the delta measures \mathcal{X}^- (resp. \mathcal{X}^+) is composed of as buy (resp. sell) limit orders of unit size at a given price. We also assume that:

- (i) there are no such $x, y \in I$ that $x \leq y$ and $\mathcal{X}^+(x) > 0$, $\mathcal{X}^-(y) > 0$,
- (ii) $\mathcal{X}^{-}([x, l_{+})) < \infty$ and $\mathcal{X}^{-}((l_{-}, x]) < \infty$ for all $x \in I$.

(日本) (日本) (日本)

Condition (ii) ensures that

$$M^{-} := max(\{I_{-}\} \cup \{x \in I : \mathcal{X}^{-}(\{x\}) > 0\}),$$

$$M^{+} := min(\{I_{+}\} \cup \{x \in I : \mathcal{X}^{+}(\{x\}) > 0\}),$$

are well defined, here M^{\mp} represent the current bid and ask prices.

The dynamics of the model are desribed by $\lambda_{\pm}: \overline{I} \to \mathbb{R}$, that are called **demand** (λ_{-}) and **supply functions** (λ_{+}) . We assume that:

(A1) λ_{-} is nonincreasing and λ_{+} is nondecreasing,

- (A2) λ_{\pm} are continuous functions,
- (A3) function $\lambda_{-} \lambda_{+}$ is strictly increasing,

(A4) $\lambda_{\pm} > 0$ on *I*.

Denote $d\lambda_{\pm}([x, y]) := \lambda_{\pm}(y) - \lambda_{\pm}(x)$, $(x, y \in I, x \leq y)$. So $d\lambda_{-}$ (resp. $d\lambda_{+}$) is a negative (resp. positive) measure.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

• Traders arrive at times of a Poisson process.

回下 ・ヨト ・ヨト

- Traders arrive at times of a Poisson process.
- Trader wants to buy or sell one item of the asset for their price p or better.

伺 とう ヨン うちょう

3

- ► Traders arrive at times of a Poisson process.
- Trader wants to buy or sell one item of the asset for their price p or better.
- **Buyers** (Sellers) arrive with Poisson intensity $-d\lambda_ (d\lambda_+)$.

向下 イヨト イヨト

3

- ► Traders arrive at times of a Poisson process.
- Trader wants to buy or sell one item of the asset for their price p or better.
- **Buyers** (Sellers) arrive with Poisson intensity $-d\lambda_ (d\lambda_+)$.
- If there is a suitable opposing offer, the trader places a market order, i.e. buys (sells) for the lowest (highest) price.

向下 イヨト イヨト

- Traders arrive at times of a Poisson process.
- Trader wants to buy or sell one item of the asset for their price p or better.
- **Buyers** (Sellers) arrive with Poisson intensity $-d\lambda_ (d\lambda_+)$.
- If there is a suitable opposing offer, the trader places a market order, i.e. buys (sells) for the lowest (highest) price.
- If there is no suitable offer, the trader places a buy (sell) limit order at price p

向下 イヨト イヨト

- ► Traders arrive at times of a Poisson process.
- Trader wants to buy or sell one item of the asset for their price p or better.
- **Buyers** (Sellers) arrive with Poisson intensity $-d\lambda_ (d\lambda_+)$.
- If there is a suitable opposing offer, the trader places a market order, i.e. buys (sells) for the lowest (highest) price.
- If there is no suitable offer, the trader places a buy (sell) limit order at price p
- Market makers arrive with Poisson intensity ρ and place one buy and one sell limit order at current bid and ask prices

We assume independence of all Poisson processes governing different mechanisms (buyers/sellers and market makers). After Stigler and Luckock we call the model the **Stigler-Luckock model** with demand and supply functions λ_{\pm} and rate of market makers ρ .

白 とう きょう うちょう





3



3



< ≣⇒



3



3



문 🛌 문



< ∃⇒

₫ ▶



3



< ≣⇒



< ∃⇒



Jan M. Swart, Vít Peržina The Stigler-Luckock model with market makers

3

₫ ▶

< ∃⇒



Jan M. Swart, Vít Peržina The Stigler-Luckock model with market makers

< **●** →

< ≣ >

< ∃⇒



< **●** →

< ≣ >

< ∃⇒



< **●** →

3

< ∃⇒



< **●** →

(E) → (E) →



< **●** →

< ≣ >

< ≣⇒



< ∃⇒

A ■



< ∃⇒

A ■



3

A ■

< ∃⇒



@ ▶

∃ → < ∃ →</p>



3

A ■

< ∃⇒



< ∃⇒

A ■



< ∃⇒

A ■
Order book evolution



3

₫ ▶

< ∃⇒

Э

Order book evolution



< ∃⇒

A ■

æ

Order book evolution: end



< ∃⇒

₽ > < €

Э

► **1964, G.J.Stigler** - no market orders or market makers, prices from discrete set of 10 values

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

æ

- ► **1964, G.J.Stigler** no market orders or market makers, prices from discrete set of 10 values
- ► 2003, H.Luckock no market orders or market makers, general λ_±

▲圖 ▶ ★ 国 ▶ ★ 国 ▶

3

- ► **1964, G.J.Stigler** no market orders or market makers, prices from discrete set of 10 values
- ▶ 2003, H.Luckock no market orders or market makers, general λ_{\pm}
- ► 2011, J.Plačková no market orders or market makers, prices from discrete set of 100 values

・回 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ …

- ► **1964, G.J.Stigler** no market orders or market makers, prices from discrete set of 10 values
- ► 2003, H.Luckock no market orders or market makers, general λ_±
- ► 2011, J.Plačková no market orders or market makers, prices from discrete set of 100 values
- ► 2012, E.Yudovina no market makers, general class of λ_± (less general than Luckock)

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Classical economic theory (going back to Walras, 1874), the **price** of an asset is determined by its demand and supply.

Let λ_{-} (resp. λ_{+}) be the functions of demand (resp. supply).

Assume, that λ_{-} (resp. λ_{+}) is continuous and strictly increasing (resp. decreasing) and equal to zero at endpoints of interval *I*.

Then in a an equilibrium market, the asset is traded at the **equilibrium price** x_W and the volume of trade is V_W , defined as $V_W := \lambda_-(x_W) = \lambda_+(x_W)$.

(4回) (注) (注) (注) (三)

Economic theory



Figure: Equilibrimum price and volume of trade according to Walras.

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

Э

Simulations: cumulative functions

For plotting the state of order book we use cumulative functions.

- Cumulative demand function: $\lambda_{-}^{c}(x) := \mathcal{X}^{-}([x, I_{+}])$
- Cumulative supply function: $\lambda_{+}^{c}(x) := \mathcal{X}^{+}([I_{-}, x])$



Figure: The order book after the arrival of 10 traders.

Consider a Stigler-Luckock model with $\overline{I} = [0, 1]$, demand and supply functions $\lambda_{-}(x) = 1 - x$ and $\lambda_{+}(x) = x$, $\rho = 0$.

• • = • • = •



0 % market makers

Figure: The order book after the arrival of 1,000 traders.





Figure: The order book after the arrival of 5,000 traders.





Figure: The order book after the arrival of 20,000 traders.

э

3





Figure: The order book after the arrival of 50,000 traders.

Where is the equilibrium that should occur (according to Walras)?

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶

3

- Where is the equilibrium that should occur (according to Walras)?
- Competitive window of positive size

回 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

æ

- Where is the equilibrium that should occur (according to Walras)?
- Competitive window of positive size $\approx (0.218, 0.782)$

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

æ

- Where is the equilibrium that should occur (according to Walras)?
- Competitive window of positive size \approx (0.218, 0.782)
- ► Luckock predicted $x_+ = 1/z$, z being the unique solution to $e^{-z} z + 1 = 0$ (which tallies with our simulations)

(日本) (日本) (日本)

Consider a Stigler-Luckock model with $\overline{I} = [0, 1]$, demand and supply functions $\lambda_{-}(x) = 1 - x$ and $\lambda_{+}(x) = x$, $\rho > 0$.

ゆ く き と く き と





Figure: The order book after the arrival of 50,000 traders.

э

-



20 % market makers

Figure: The order book after the arrival of 50,000 traders.

3





Figure: The order book after the arrival of 50,000 traders.



30 % market makers

Figure: The order book after the arrival of 50,000 traders.

-



30 % market makers

Figure: The order book after the arrival of 50,000 traders.



Figure: The order book after the arrival of 50,000 traders.

・ロト ・日本 ・モート ・モート

Э

Adding more market makers shrinks competitive window

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

3

- Adding more market makers shrinks competitive window
- When does it close completely?

▲□→ ▲ □→ ▲ □→

æ

- Adding more market makers shrinks competitive window
- When does it close completely?
- How many market makers do we need for that?

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ →

æ

- Adding more market makers shrinks competitive window
- When does it close completely?
- How many market makers do we need for that?
- ► What happens if there is "too many" market makers?

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

イロン イ部ン イヨン イヨン 三日

• Limit orders can be placed only at prices inside J.

- ▲ 글 ▶ - 글

- Limit orders can be placed only at prices inside J.
- ► Traders who want to buy for prices ≥ J₊ or sell for prices ≤ J₋ take the best available order, if there is one, and *do nothing* otherwise.

- Limit orders can be placed only at prices inside *J*.
- ► Traders who want to buy for prices ≥ J₊ or sell for prices ≤ J₋ take the best available order, if there is one, and *do nothing* otherwise.
- ► As long as the bid and ask prices stay inside J, the restricted model behaves the same as the original model.

- Limit orders can be placed only at prices inside J.
- ► Traders who want to buy for prices ≥ J₊ or sell for prices ≤ J₋ take the best available order, if there is one, and *do nothing* otherwise.
- ► As long as the bid and ask prices stay inside J, the restricted model behaves the same as the original model.
- ► For the restricted model, it is no longer true that λ_± are zero at the endpoints of the interval.

Restricted models



Jan M. Swart Perzina
Luckock's equation

[Luckock's differential equation] Let M^{\pm} denote the price of the best buy/sell offer. Assume that the process is in equilibrium. Then

$$f_{-}(x) := \mathbb{P}[M^{-} < x]$$
 and $f_{+}(x) := \mathbb{P}[M^{+} > x]$

solve the differential equation

(i)
$$f_{-}d\lambda_{+} + (\lambda_{-} - \rho)df_{+} = 0,$$

(ii) $f_{+}d\lambda_{-} + (\lambda_{+} - \rho)df_{-} = 0$
(iii) $f_{+}(J_{-}) = 1 = f_{-}(J_{+}).$

Proof: Since buy orders are added to $A \subset J$ at the same rate as they are removed,

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{P}[\mathbf{M}^{-} < x] \, \mathrm{d}\lambda_{+}(\mathrm{d}x) + \rho \int_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{P}[\mathbf{M}^{+} \in \mathrm{d}x] = \int_{\mathcal{A}} \lambda_{-}(x) \, \mathbb{P}[\mathbf{M}^{+} \in \mathrm{d}x].$$

(D) (B) (E)

 $\equiv 0$

Assume $\lambda_{-}(J_{+}), \lambda_{+}(J_{-}) > 0$. Then Luckock's equation has a unique solution (f_{-}, f_{+}) . In general, however, f_{\pm} need not take values in [0, 1]. In such a case, by Luckock's result, no invariant law is possible.

Theorem [S. '16] Assume $\lambda_{-}(J_{+}), \lambda_{+}(J_{-}) > 0$. Then the Stigler-Luckock model on J is positive recurrent if and only if the unique solution to Luckock's equation satisfies $f_{-}(J_{-}) > 0$ and $f_{+}(J_{+}) > 0$.

Note F. Kelly and E. Yudovina have a similar, but somewhat less complete result.

(1日) (日) (日)

Restricted models



Restrictions of the uniform model: subintervals (J_-, J_+) for which $f_-(J_-) > 0$ resp. $f_+(J_+) > 0$.

The dot in the previous picture indicates the *competitive window*, i.e., the unique subinterval J such that the solution of Luckock's equation on J satisfies $f_{-}(I_{-}) = 0 = f_{+}(I_{+})$.

Look more specifically at subintervals J such that $\lambda_{-}(J_{-}) = \lambda_{+}(J_{+})$. Define

$$J_{-}(V) = \sup \{ x \in \overline{I} : \lambda_{-}(x) \ge V \},\$$

$$J_{+}(V) = \inf \{ x \in \overline{I} : \lambda_{+}(x) \ge V \},\$$

Then $f_{-}(J_{-})$ and $f_{+}(J_{+})$ are either both > 0, both = 0, or both < 0 depending on whether $\Phi(V) < V_{W}^{-2}$, = V_{W}^{-2} , or > V_{W}^{-2} , where

$$\Phi(V) := -\int_{V_{\mathrm{W}}}^{V} \Big\{ \frac{1}{\lambda_{+} \big(J_{-}(U) \big)} + \frac{1}{\lambda_{-} \big(J_{+}(U) \big)} \Big\} \mathrm{d} \Big(\frac{1}{U} \Big).$$

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

The previous slide holds for models without market makers. In general, Luckock's differential equation takes the form:

(i)
$$f_{-}d\lambda_{+} + (\lambda_{-} - \rho)df_{+} = 0,$$

(ii) $f_{+}d\lambda_{-} + (\lambda_{+} - \rho)df_{-} = 0$
(iii) $f_{+}(J_{-}) = 1 = f_{-}(J_{+}).$

We can still apply the previous results provided we replace λ_- and λ_+ by

$$ilde{\lambda}_{-}(x):=\lambda_{-}(x)-
ho$$
 and $ilde{\lambda}_{-}(x):=\lambda_{-}(x)-
ho.$

Conclusion The competitive window has positive size iff $\rho < V_{\rm W}$.

Freezing

Theorem Assume that $\rho \geq V_W$ and that λ_- and λ_+ are strictly decreasing resp. increasing. Then there exists a random variable M_∞ such that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}M_t^- = \lim_{t\to\infty}M_t^+ = M_\infty \quad \text{a.s.}$$

The support of the law of M_{∞} is given by $\{x \in \overline{I} : \lambda_{-}(x) \lor \lambda_{+}(x) \le \rho\}.$

Proof idea Since in the long run sell limit orders are added near M_{∞} at rate ρ and removed at rate $\lambda_{-}(M_{\infty})$, no freezing is possible at a value such that $\lambda_{-}(M_{\infty}) > \rho$. On the other hand, if $\lambda_{-}(x) < \rho$, then there is a positive probability that $M_{t}^{+} \leq x$ for all $t \geq 0$. Using this and the assumption that λ_{-} and λ_{+} are strictly decreasing resp. increasing, one can show that freezing must occur somewhere. Frank Kelly and Elena Yudovina. *A Markov model of a limit order book: thresholds, recurrence, and trading strategies.* Preprint (2015 and 2016) ArXiv 1504.00579.

▶ Claim For any finite initial state, $\liminf_{t\to\infty} M_t^- = J_-$ and $\limsup_{t\to\infty} M_t^+ = J_+$ a.s., where J is the competitive window.

A nice argument based on Kolmogorov's 0-1 law shows that the liminf and limsup are given by deterministic constants. The proof that they coincide with the boundary points of the competitive window is rather more involved and needs additional technical assumtions. (In particular, $cdx \le \mu_{\pm} \le Cdx$ for some $0 < c < C < \infty$.)

Proofs use "fluid limits".

(ロ) (同) (E) (E) (E)

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

æ

▶ Remove the technical assumptions of Kelly and Yudovina.

æ

- < ∃ >

- ► Remove the technical assumptions of Kelly and Yudovina.
- Show that the restricted model on the competitive window has an invariant law.

< ≣ >

æ

- ► Remove the technical assumptions of Kelly and Yudovina.
- Show that the restricted model on the competitive window has an invariant law.
- Show convergence to this invariant law started from any finite initial state.

- < ∃ >

- ► Remove the technical assumptions of Kelly and Yudovina.
- Show that the restricted model on the competitive window has an invariant law.
- Show convergence to this invariant law started from any finite initial state.
- Study the equilibrium distribution of the time before a limit order is matched: conjectured power law tail.

- ► Remove the technical assumptions of Kelly and Yudovina.
- Show that the restricted model on the competitive window has an invariant law.
- Show convergence to this invariant law started from any finite initial state.
- Study the equilibrium distribution of the time before a limit order is matched: conjectured power law tail.
- Study the shape of the equilibrium process near the boundary: conjecture for scaling limit made in [Formentin & S.]

- < ≣ →

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

æ

allowing orders to be cancelled

æ

- ∢ ≣ ▶

- allowing orders to be cancelled
- making the rate of market makers depend on the size of the spread

æ

< ≣ >

- allowing orders to be cancelled
- making the rate of market makers depend on the size of the spread
- better strategies for buyers, sellers, and market makers

- allowing orders to be cancelled
- making the rate of market makers depend on the size of the spread
- better strategies for buyers, sellers, and market makers
- making the supply and demand functions depend on time

A little warning: less is often more.

Before you write down the most general possible model, keep in mind that for understanding the basic principles that are at work, it is often much more useful to have a minimal working example than a model with lots of parameters.

Today we have learned that even if buyers and sellers are in one place, there is still room for market makers who make money by transporting goods not in space but in time, buying when the price is low and selling when the price is high.

> And in fact, you need these people to attain Walras' equilibrium price.

Linear functionals

Let \mathcal{X}_t^{\pm} denote the sets of buy and sell limit orders in the order book at time *t* and consider a weighted sum over the limit orders of the form

$$F(\mathcal{X}_t) := \int_J \mathbf{w}_{-}(x) \mathcal{X}^{-}(\mathrm{d} x) + \int_J \mathbf{w}_{+}(x) \mathcal{X}^{+}(\mathrm{d} x),$$

where $w_{\pm}: \overline{I} \to \mathbb{R}$ are "weight" functions. Lemma One has

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathbb{E}[F(\mathcal{X}_t)] = q_-(M_t^-) + q_+(M_t^+),$$

where $q_-: [J_-, J_+) o \mathbb{R}$ and $q_+: (J_+, J_-] o \mathbb{R}$ are given by

$$q_{-}(x) := \int_{x}^{J_{+}} w_{+} d\lambda_{+} - w_{-}(x)\lambda_{+}(x) \qquad (x \in [J_{-}, J_{+})),$$

$$q_{+}(x) := -\int_{J_{-}}^{x} w_{-} d\lambda_{-} - w_{+}(x)\lambda_{-}(x) \qquad (x \in (J_{-}, J_{+}]).$$

Linear functionals

Proof of positive recurrence Assume $\lambda_{-}(J_{+}), \lambda_{+}(J_{-}) > 0$. For each $z \in \overline{J}$, there exist a unique pair of weight functions (w_{-}, w_{+}) such that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathbb{E}[F(\mathcal{X}_t)] = \mathbb{1}_{\left\{ \mathbf{M}_t^- \leq z \right\}} - f_-(z),$$

where (f_-, f_+) is the unique solution to Luckock's equation. Likewise, there exist a unique pair of weight functions (w_-, w_+) such that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathbb{E}[F(\mathcal{X}_t)] = \mathbb{1}_{\{M_t^+ \ge z\}} - f_+(z).$$

In particular, there exist linear functionals $F^{(\pm)}$ such that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathbb{E}[F^{(-)}(\mathcal{X}_t)] = \mathbb{1}_{\{M_t^- \leq J_-\}} - f_-(J_-),\\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathbb{E}[F^{(+)}(\mathcal{X}_t)] = \mathbb{1}_{\{M_t^+ \geq J_+\}} - f_+(J_+).$$

If $f_{-}(J_{-}), f_{+}(J_{+}) > 0$, then it is possible to construct a Lyapunov function from $F^{(-)}$ and $F^{(+)}$, proving positive recurrence.

Linear functionals



▲ 御 ▶ → ミ ▶

< ≣⇒

æ

Application of Kolmogorov's 0-1 law

Theorem [Kelly & Yudovina] $J_{-} := \liminf_{t \to \infty} M_t^{-}$ is a deterministic number.

Lemma Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be Stigler-Luckock models started in initial states such that $\mathcal{Y}_0 = \mathcal{X}_0 + \delta_x$ for some $x \in I$. If the same traders arrive in each model, then $\mathcal{Y}_t = \mathcal{X}_t + \delta_{\xi_t}$ for some $\xi_t \in I$ ($t \ge 0$). It follows that for any $x \in I$,

$$\mathcal{Y}_{t}^{-}((I_{-},x]) \leq \mathcal{X}_{t}^{-}((I_{-},x]) \leq \mathcal{Y}_{t}^{-}((I_{-},x]) + 1 \qquad (t \geq 0),$$

so at any time (almost) the same buy orders below x have been removed from \mathcal{X} as have been removed from \mathcal{Y} .

Proof of theorem Let $U_k \in I$ denote the price of the *k*-th trader and $\sigma_k \in \{-,+\}$ its type (buyer/seller). In view of the lemma,

 $\mathcal{E}(x) := \{ \text{finitely many buy orders are removed from } (I_{-}, x] \}$

国家 米国家

is measurable w.r.t. the tail algebra of $(U_k, \sigma_k)_{k\geq 1}$.