Interacting Particle Systems with Applications in Finance

Jan M. Swart

Lecture 2: Mean-Field Analysis

A⊒ ▶ ∢ ∃

Let Λ be a finite set and let $S = \{-1, +1\}^{\Lambda}$ be the set of all functions $x : \Lambda \to \{-1, +1\}$.

Recall that the *Ising model* with (continuous-time) Glauber dynamics is the Markov process $X = (X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ with state space S, where each coordinate $X_t(i)$ chooses a new state at times of a Poisson process with rate 1.

If \mathcal{N}_i contains $M_i(\pm)$ sites of type ± 1 , then site *i* chooses the new state

+1 with probability $rac{e^{eta J M_i(+)}}{e^{eta J M_i(+)}+e^{eta J M_i(-)}},$

and -1 with the remaining probability.

・回 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

Possible choices for the neighborhood $\mathcal{N}_i \subset \Lambda$ of person $i \in \Lambda$:

- 1. the whole set Λ ,
- 2. points $j \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with |i j| = 1,
- 3. neighbors in any locally finite graph.

Choice 1., made by Brock & Durlauf (2001), corresponds to a *mean-field* model. We can imagine Λ as a *complete graph* where every person is connected to everyone else.

We are interested in the limit $|\Lambda| \to \infty$. It is convenient to choose the coupling constant $J := 1/|\mathcal{N}_i| = 1/|\Lambda|$. Then site *i* chooses the new state

+1 with probability
$$\frac{e^{eta X_t/2}}{e^{eta \overline{X}_t/2} + e^{-eta \overline{X}_t/2}},$$

where

$$\overline{X}_t := \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \sum_{i \in \Lambda} X_t(i)$$
 is the mean choice of everybody.

We are interested in the evolution of the mean choice

$$\overline{X}_t = rac{1}{|\Lambda|} \sum_{i \in \Lambda} X_t(i).$$

Set $\varepsilon := |\Lambda|^{-1}$. Since there are $\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{-1}(1-\overline{X}_t)$ sites of type -1, the process \overline{X} jumps

$$\overline{x} \mapsto \overline{x} + 2\varepsilon$$
 with rate $r_+(x) = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{-1}(1-\overline{x})\frac{e^{\beta\overline{x}/2}}{e^{\beta\overline{x}/2} + e^{-\beta\overline{x}/2}},$

and similarly

Since $r_{\pm}(x)$ are functions of \overline{x} only, the process $(\overline{X}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is itself a Markov process.



The Markov process \overline{X} with $|\Lambda| = 10$, $\overline{X}_0 = 0.2$, and $\beta = 3$.

æ



The Markov process \overline{X} with $|\Lambda| = 100$, $\overline{X}_0 = 0.1$, and $\beta = 3$.



The Markov process \overline{X} with $|\Lambda| = 1000$, $\overline{X}_0 = 0.1$, and $\beta = 3$.



The Markov process \overline{X} with $|\Lambda| = 10,000$, $\overline{X}_0 = 0.1$, and $\beta = 3$.

For small ε , by some law of large numbers, we expect that only the average displacement per unit time matters, i.e., the *local drift*

$$egin{aligned} r_+(x)\cdot 2arepsilon+r_-(x)\cdot (-2arepsilon)\ &=rac{(1-\overline{x})e^{eta\overline{x}/2}-(1+\overline{x})e^{-eta\overline{x}/2}}{e^{eta\overline{x}/2}+e^{-eta\overline{x}/2}}=:g_eta(\overline{x}). \end{aligned}$$

In the limit $\varepsilon \to 0$, we expect \overline{X}_t to follow the deterministic differential equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\overline{X}_t = g_\beta(\overline{X}_t) \qquad (t \ge 0).$$



A⊒ ▶ ∢ ∃



A⊒ ▶ ∢ ∃



A⊒ ▶ ∢ ≣



For $\beta > 2$, the fixed point $\overline{x} = 0$ becomes unstable and two new stable fixed points appear.



For $\beta > 2$, the fixed point $\overline{x} = 0$ becomes unstable and two new stable fixed points appear.



For $\beta > 2$, the fixed point $\overline{x} = 0$ becomes unstable and two new stable fixed points appear. Starting with everybody in the state +1, the mean \overline{X}_t converges as $t \to \infty$ to the upper fixed point $\overline{x}_{upp}(\beta)$.



Small β means large noise or equivalently a small tendency to choose the same state as your neighbors.

Large β means small noise or equivalently a strong tendency to choose the same state as your neighbors.

We observe a *phase transition* in β :

- For small β, the individuals behave essentially as if they were independent.
- For large β, two stable fixed points appear where a majority of people make the same choice.

As long as the lattice Λ is *finite*, the (stochastic) Ising model is an irreducible continuous-time Markov chain with finite state space, and hence ergodic.

For the mean-field model, the limits $|\Lambda| \to \infty$ and $t \to \infty$ cannot be interchanged. Starting with $\overline{X}_0 > 0$, first letting $|\Lambda| \to \infty$ and then $t \to \infty$, our mean-field analysis showed that the magnetization has a positive limit $\overline{x}_{upp}(\beta)$ for $\beta > 2$.

But ergodicity tells us that for any fixed $|\Lambda|$, letting $t \to \infty$, the process \overline{X}_t eventually spends equally much time near $\overline{x}_{upp}(\beta)$ and $-\overline{x}_{upp}(\beta)$.

If Λ is large, then most of the time $\overline{X}_t \approx \pm \overline{x}_{upp}(\beta)$, with rare transitions between the two values.

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Metastability for the Ising model



The mean-field Ising model \overline{X} with $|\Lambda| = 50$, $\overline{X}_0 = 0.1$, and $\beta = 3$.

Cooperative branching

Recall the cooperative branching map

$$\operatorname{coop}_{i,i',j} x(k) := \left\{ egin{array}{cc} (x(i) \wedge x(i')) \lor x(j) & ext{if } k = j, \ x(k) & ext{otherwise,} \end{array}
ight.$$

and define a *death* map

$$death_i x(k) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = i, \\ x(k) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Consider the process $X = (X_t)_{t \ge 0}$ with generator

$$egin{aligned} & {\it Gf}(x) = b |\Lambda|^{-2} \sum_{i,i',j} \left\{ figl(ext{coop}_{i,i',j} x igr) - figl(x igr)
ight\} \ &+ \sum_{i} \left\{ figl(ext{death}_{i} x igr) - figl(x igr)
ight\}. \end{aligned}$$

Factor $|\Lambda|^{-2}$ to make each site take part in a cooperative branching event with rate of order one.

As before, set $\varepsilon := |\Lambda|^{-1}$ and $\overline{X}_t := \varepsilon \sum_{i \in \Lambda} X_t(i)$. Then

$$\overline{x} \mapsto \overline{x} + \varepsilon$$
 with rate $r_+(x) = \varepsilon^{-1}b\overline{x}^2(1-\overline{x}),$
 $\overline{x} \mapsto \overline{x} - \varepsilon$ with rate $r_-(x) = \varepsilon^{-1}\overline{x},$

from which we obtain in the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ the mean-field equation

$$rac{\partial}{\partial t}\overline{X}_t = b\overline{X}_t^2(1-\overline{X}_t) - \overline{X}_t =: g_b(\overline{X}_t) \qquad (t \ge 0).$$

Interpretation: a sexually reproducing population.

御 と く ヨ と く



A ■



A ■

Cooperative branching



For b > 4, there are two stable fixed points and one unstable fixed point, which separates the domains of attraction of the other two.

Cooperative branching

Starting with all sites occupied by a 1, the mean \overline{X}_t converges as $t \to \infty$ to the upper fixed point $\overline{x}_{upp}(b)$.



Again, the limits $|\Lambda| \to \infty$ and $t \to \infty$ cannot be interchanged. If $|\Lambda|$ is fixed but large, then \overline{X}_t spends a long time near $\overline{x}_{upp}(b)$, until eventually, the process dies out by chance.

This is *metastable* behavior.

Recall the voter map

$$\operatorname{vot}_{ij}(x) := \begin{cases} x(j) & \text{if } k = i, \\ x(k) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

We consider the mean-field model with generator

$$Gf(x) = |\Lambda|^{-1} \sum_{i,j\in\Lambda} \{f(\operatorname{vot}_{i,j}x) - f(x)\}.$$

The factor $|\Lambda|^{-1}$ is chosen so that the rate at which a given site changes its type is of order one. This is the right time scale for the mean-field limit.

We can interpret G as saying each site chooses a new type at the times of a Poisson process with rate one, and the new type is chosen with equal probabilities from the population.

We consider the 2-type model with $X_t(i) \in \{0,1\}$ and as before, we set $\varepsilon := |\Lambda|^{-1}$ and $\overline{X}_t := \varepsilon \sum_{i \in \Lambda} X_t(i)$. Then \overline{X} is a Markov process that jumps

$$\overline{x} \mapsto \overline{x} + \varepsilon$$
 with rate $r_+(x) = \varepsilon^{-1}\overline{x}(1-\overline{x}),$
 $\overline{x} \mapsto \overline{x} - \varepsilon$ with rate $r_-(x) = \varepsilon^{-1}\overline{x}(1-\overline{x}),$

which in the mean-field limit yields the differential equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\overline{X}_t = 0.$$

伺 ト イヨト イヨト





A ₽



æ

As before, we see random behavior on a larger time scale. Let $\hat{X}_t:=\overline{X}_{t/\varepsilon}.$ Then

$$\mathbb{P}^{\overline{x}}[\hat{X}_t - \overline{x} = +\varepsilon] = \varepsilon^{-2}\overline{x}(1 - \overline{x}) \cdot t + O(t^2),$$

 $\mathbb{P}^{\overline{x}}[\hat{X}_t - \overline{x} = -\varepsilon] = \varepsilon^{-2}\overline{x}(1 - \overline{x}) \cdot t + O(t^2),$

So

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}^{\overline{x}}\big[(\hat{X}_t - \overline{x})\big] &= O(t^2), \\ \mathbb{E}^{\overline{x}}\big[(\hat{X}_t - \overline{x})^2\big] &= \overline{x}(1 - \overline{x}) \cdot t + O(t^2). \end{split}$$

One can use this to prove that the generator $\hat{G}_{arepsilon}$ of \hat{X} satisfies

$$\hat{G}_{\varepsilon}f(\overline{x}) \underset{\varepsilon o 0}{ o} rac{1}{2}\overline{x}(1-\overline{x})rac{\partial^2}{\partial\overline{x}^2}f(\overline{x})$$

for sufficiently smooth f.



A ₽



In the limit $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, the process \hat{X}_t converges in law to a solution of the stochastic differential

$$\mathrm{d}\hat{X}_t = \sqrt{\hat{X}_t(1-\hat{X}_t)}\mathrm{d}B_t \qquad (t\geq 0).$$

This is the Wright-Fisher diffusion with generator

$$Gf(\overline{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\overline{x}(1-\overline{x})\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\overline{x}^2}f(\overline{x}).$$

These calculations can be made rigorous using methods from the theory of convergence of Markov processes; see, e.g., the book by Ethier & Kurtz (1986).

The same methods may be applied to give rigorous proofs of the mean-field limits, where now one finds a first-order differential operator in the limit and an ODE instead of an SDE.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Exercise}}\ 1$ Do a mean-field analysis of the contact process with generator

$$egin{aligned} & \mathcal{G}f(x) = \lambda |\Lambda|^{-1} \sum_{i,j} \left\{ f\left(\mathtt{bra}_{i,j} x
ight) - f\left(x
ight)
ight\} \ &+ \sum_i \left\{ f\left(\mathtt{death}_i x
ight) - f\left(x
ight)
ight\}. \end{aligned}$$

Do you observe a phase transition? Is it first- or second order? Exercise 2 Same as above for the model with generator

$$Gf(x) = b|\Lambda|^{-2} \sum_{i,i',j} \{f(\operatorname{coop}_{i,i',j} x) - f(x)\} + \sum_{i,j} |\Lambda|^{-1} \{f(\operatorname{rw}_{i,j} x) - f(x)\}.$$

æ

Exercises

Exercise 3 Derive an SDE in the limit $|\Lambda|\to\infty$ for the density of the mean-field voter model with small bias and death rates, with generator

$$egin{aligned} Gf(x) &= |\Lambda|^{-2} \sum_{i,j \in \Lambda} \left\{ f\left(ext{vot}_{i,j} x
ight) - f\left(x
ight)
ight\} \ &+ s |\Lambda|^{-1} \sum_{i,j \in \Lambda} \left\{ f\left(ext{bra}_{i,j} x
ight) - f\left(x
ight)
ight\} \ &+ d \sum_{i \in \Lambda} \left\{ f\left(ext{death}_{i} x
ight) - f\left(x
ight)
ight\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hint: You should find expressions of the form

$$\mathbb{E}^{\overline{x}}[(\overline{X}_t - \overline{x})] = b(\overline{x}) \cdot t + O(t^2),$$
$$\mathbb{E}^{\overline{x}}[(\overline{X}_t - \overline{x})^2] = a(\overline{x}) \cdot t + O(t^2),$$

which leads to a limiting generator of the form

$$Gf(\overline{x}) = \frac{1}{2}a(\overline{x})\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \overline{x}^2}f(\overline{x}) + b(\overline{x})\frac{\partial}{\partial x}f(\overline{x}).$$

Exercise 4 Do a mean-field analysis of the following extension of the voter model, considered by Neuhauser & Pacala (1999). In their model, the site i flips

$$\begin{split} 0 &\mapsto 1 \quad \text{with rate} \big(f_0 + \alpha_{01} f_1 \big) f_1, \\ 1 &\mapsto 0 \quad \text{with rate} \big(f_1 + \alpha_{10} f_1 \big) f_0, \end{split}$$

where $\alpha_{01}, \alpha_{10} > 0$ and $f_{\tau} = |\mathcal{N}_i|^{-1} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} \mathbb{1}_{\{x(j) = \tau\}}$ is the relative frequency of type τ in the neighborhood of *i*.

Find all stable and unstable fixed points of the mean-field model in the regimes: I. $\alpha_{01}, \alpha_{10} < 1$, II. $\alpha_{01} < 1 < \alpha_{10}$, III. $\alpha_{10} < 1 < \alpha_{01}$, IV. $1 < \alpha_{01}, \alpha_{10}$.

(국國) (국물) (국물) (문