Recursive tree processes and the mean-field limit of stochastic flows

Jan M. Swart (Czech Academy of Sciences)

joint with Tibor Mach (Prague) A. Sturm (Göttingen) Friday, October 9th, 2020 Let  $S := \{0, 1\}$ . Consider the maps:

$$\operatorname{cob}: S^3 \to S$$
 with  $\operatorname{cob}(x_1, x_2, x_3) := x_1 \lor (x_2 \land x_3),$   
 $\operatorname{dth}: S^0 \to S$  with  $\operatorname{dth}(\varnothing) := 0.$ 

Let G = (V, E) be a graph. Let  $X = (X_t)_{t \ge 0}$  with  $X_t = (X_t(i))_{i \in V}$  be a Markov process with state space  $S^V$  that evolves as follows:

- (Cooperative branching) For each i ∈ V, with Poisson rate α, we pick i ~ j ~ k, all different, at random and replace X<sub>t</sub>(i) by cob(X<sub>t</sub>(i), X<sub>t</sub>(j), X<sub>t</sub>(k)).
- (death) For each i ∈ V, with Poisson rate one, we replace X<sub>t</sub>(i) by dth(Ø) = 0.

- ▲冊 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ● の Q @

# A graphical representation



#### We denote cob and dth by suitable symbols.

3

## A graphical representation



The random maps  $(X_{s,t})_{s \leq t}$  form a stochastic flow

$$old X_{s,s} = 1$$
 and  $old X_{t,u} \circ old X_{s,t} = old X_{s,u}$ 

with independent increments, in the sense that

$$X_{t_0,t_1},\ldots,X_{t_{n-1},t_n}$$

are independent for each  $t_0 < \cdots < t_n$ .

If  $X_0$  is independent of  $(X_{s,t})_{s \le t}$ , then setting

$$X_t := \mathbf{X}_{0,t}(X_0) \qquad (t \ge 0)$$

defines a Markov process  $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$  with the right jump rates.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

3

#### The mean-field limit

We are interested in the process on the *complete graph* with N vertices. Let  $\mathcal{P}(S) :=$  the space of probability measures on S. For any deterministic map  $g : S^k \to S$ , define  $T_g : \mathcal{P}(S) \to \mathcal{P}(S)$  by

$$\mathsf{T}_g(\mu) := ext{ the law of } g(X_1, \dots, X_k),$$

where  $(X_i)_{i\geq 1}$  are i.i.d. with law  $\mu$ . In the limit  $N \to \infty$ , the empirical measure  $\mu_t^N := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{X_t(i)}$  solves

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mu_t = \alpha \big\{ \mathsf{T}_{\rm cob}(\mu_t) - \mu_t \big\} + \big\{ \mathsf{T}_{\rm dth}(\mu_t) - \mu_t \big\}.$$

Rewriting this in terms of  $p_t := \mu_t(\{1\})$  yields

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\boldsymbol{p}_t = \alpha \boldsymbol{p}_t^2 (1 - \boldsymbol{p}_t) - \boldsymbol{p}_t =: F_\alpha(\boldsymbol{p}_t) \qquad (t \ge 0).$$

・吊り イヨト イヨト ニヨ



3 × 4 3 ×

## Cooperative branching



3 . 3

# Cooperative branching



For  $\alpha > 4$ , there are two stable fixed points and one unstable fixed point, which separates the domains of attraction of the other two.

A B K A B K

## Cooperative branching



Fixed points of  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} p_t = F_{\alpha}(p_t)$  for different values of  $\alpha$ .

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

æ

#### The general set-up

- (i) Polish space S local state space.
- (ii)  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mathbf{r})$  Polish space with Borel  $\sigma$ -field and finite measure: source of external randomness.
- (iii)  $\kappa: \Omega \to \mathbb{N}$  measurable function.

(iv) For each  $\omega \in \Omega$ , a measurable function  $\gamma[\omega] : S^{\kappa(\omega)} \to S$ .

Then the mean-field equation takes the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mu_t = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{r}(\mathrm{d}\omega) \{ \mathbf{T}_{\gamma[\omega]}(\mu_t) - \mu_t \} \qquad (t \ge 0).$$
(1)

In our example  $S=\{0,1\}$ ,  $\Omega=\{1,2\}$ ,

$$\begin{split} \gamma[1] &= \texttt{cob}: S^3 \to S, \qquad \kappa(1) = 3, \qquad \texttt{r}(\{1\}) = \alpha, \\ \gamma[2] &= \texttt{dth}: S^0 \to S, \qquad \kappa(2) = 0, \qquad \texttt{r}(\{2\}) = 1. \end{split}$$

向下 イヨト イヨト

## The mean-field equation

#### Theorem [Mach, Sturm, S. '20] Assume that

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{r}(\mathrm{d}\omega) \,\kappa(\omega) < \infty \tag{2}$$

Then for each initial state, the mean-field equation (1) has a unique solution.

Define a (nonlinear) semigroup  $(T_t)_{t\geq 0}$  of operators acting on probability measures by

$${\sf T}_t(\mu):=\mu_t \quad ext{where } (\mu_t)_{t\geq 0} ext{ solves } (1) ext{ with } \mu_0=\mu.$$

**Proposition [Mach, Sturm, S. '20]** Assume that  $\forall k, x \in S^k$ 

$$\mathbf{r}(\{\omega:\kappa(\omega)=k,\;\gamma[\omega]\; ext{is discontinuous at x}\})=0.$$
 (3)

Then the operators  $T_t$  are continuous w.r.t. weak convergence.

Let  $\mu_t^N := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{X_t(i)}$  denote the empirical measure. Let *d* be any metric that generates the topology of weak convergence and let  $\|\cdot\|$  denote the total variation norm.

**Theorem [Mach, Sturm, S. '20]** Assume (2) and at least one of the following conditions:

(i)  $\mathbb{P}[d(\mu_0^N, \mu_0) \ge \varepsilon] \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{} 0$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and (3) holds. (ii)  $\|\mathbb{E}[(\mu_0^N)^{\otimes n}] - \mu_0^{\otimes n}\| \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{} 0$  for all  $n \ge 1$ . Then

$$\mathbb{P}\big[\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}d\big(\mu_t^N,\mathsf{T}_t(\mu_0)\big)\geq \varepsilon\big]\underset{N\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}0\qquad (\varepsilon>0,\ T<\infty).$$

(4月) (3日) (3日) 日

#### Question

What is the mean-field limit of the stochastic flow  $(X_{s,t})_{s \leq t}$ ?

Fix  $d \in \mathbb{N}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$  such that  $\kappa(\omega) \leq d$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Let  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{T}^d$  denote the space of all words  $\mathbf{i} = i_1 \cdots i_n$  made from the alphabet  $\{1, \ldots, d\}$  (if  $d < \infty$ ) resp.  $\mathbb{N}_+$  (if  $d = \infty$ ).

ヨット イヨット イヨッ



We view  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{T}^d$  as a tree with root  $\varnothing$ , the word of length zero.

• 3 >



We attach i.i.d.  $(\omega_i)_{i \in \mathbb{T}}$  with law  $|\mathbf{r}|^{-1}\mathbf{r}$  to each node, which translate into maps  $(\gamma[\omega_i])_{i \in \mathbb{T}}$ .

通 とう ほうとう ほうど



Let  ${\mathbb S}$  be the random subtree of  ${\mathbb T}$  defined as

$$\mathbb{S} := \{i_1 \cdots i_n \in \mathbb{T} : i_m \le \kappa(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{i_1 \cdots i_{m-1}}) \ \forall 1 \le m \le n\}.$$

→ ∃ →

< E



For any rooted subtree  $\mathbb{U} \subset \mathbb{S}$ , let

$$\nabla \mathbb{U} := \left\{ i_1 \cdots i_n \in \mathbb{S} : i_1 \cdots i_{n-1} \in \mathbb{U}, \ i_1 \cdots i_n \notin \mathbb{U} \right\}$$

denote the boundary of  $\mathbb{U}$  relative to  $\mathbb{S}$ .

(4) (5) (4) (5) (4)



Given  $(X_i)_{i \in \nabla U}$ , we inductively define  $(X_i)_{i \in U}$  by

$$X_{\mathbf{i}} = \gamma[\omega_{\mathbf{i}}] (X_{\mathbf{i}1}, \dots, X_{\mathbf{i}\kappa(\omega)}) \qquad (\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{U}).$$

→ ∃ >

- ∢ ⊒ ⊳

3



Given  $(X_i)_{i \in \nabla U}$ , we inductively define  $(X_i)_{i \in U}$  by

$$X_{\mathbf{i}} = \gamma[\omega_{\mathbf{i}}] (X_{\mathbf{i}1}, \dots, X_{\mathbf{i}\kappa(\omega)}) \qquad (\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{U}).$$

31.1€

- E



Given  $(X_i)_{i \in \nabla U}$ , we inductively define  $(X_i)_{i \in U}$  by

$$X_{\mathbf{i}} = \gamma[\omega_{\mathbf{i}}] (X_{\mathbf{i}1}, \dots, X_{\mathbf{i}\kappa(\omega)}) \qquad (\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{U}).$$



Given  $(X_i)_{i \in \nabla U}$ , we inductively define  $(X_i)_{i \in U}$  by

$$X_{\mathbf{i}} = \gamma[\omega_{\mathbf{i}}] (X_{\mathbf{i}1}, \dots, X_{\mathbf{i}\kappa(\omega)}) \qquad (\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Define 
$$G_{\mathbb{U}}: S^{\nabla \mathbb{U}} \to S$$
 by  $G_{\mathbb{U}}((X_i)_{i \in \nabla \mathbb{U}}) := X_{\varnothing}$ .

 $G_{\mathbb{U}}$  is the concatenation of the maps  $(\gamma[\omega_i])_{i \in \mathbb{U}}$  according to the tree structure of  $\mathbb{U}$ .

Let  $|i_1 \cdots i_n| := n$  denote the length of a word **i** and set

$$\mathbb{S}_{(n)} := \{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{S} : |\mathbf{i}| < n\}$$
 and  $\nabla \mathbb{S}_{(n)} = \{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{S} : |\mathbf{i}| = n\}.$ 

Aldous and Bandyopadyay (2005) observed that

$$\mathsf{T}^n(\mu):= ext{ the law of } G_{\mathbb{S}_{(n)}}ig((\mathsf{X}_{\mathbf{i}})_{\mathbf{i}\in 
abla \mathbb{S}_{(n)}}ig),$$

where  $(X_i)_{i\in\nabla\mathbb{S}_{(n)}}$  are i.i.d. with law  $\mu$  and independent of  $(\omega_i)_{i\in\mathbb{S}_{(n)}},$  and

$$\mathsf{T}(\mu) := |\mathbf{r}|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \mathsf{r}(\mathrm{d}\omega) \mathsf{T}_{\gamma[\omega]}(\mu).$$

同下 イヨト イヨト ニヨ



Let  $(\sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{T}}$  be i.i.d. exponentially distributed with mean  $|\mathbf{r}|^{-1}$ , independent of  $(\omega_i)_{i \in \mathbb{T}}$ , and set

$$\begin{split} \tau_{\mathbf{i}}^* &:= \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \sigma_{i_1 \cdots i_m} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_{\mathbf{i}}^{\dagger} &:= \tau_{\mathbf{i}}^* + \sigma_{\mathbf{i}} \qquad (\mathbf{i} = i_1 \cdots i_n), \\ \mathbb{S}_t &:= \left\{ \mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{S} : \tau_{\mathbf{i}}^{\dagger} \leq t \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla \mathbb{S}_t = \left\{ \mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{S} : \tau_{\mathbf{i}}^* \leq t < \tau_{\mathbf{i}}^{\dagger} \right\}. \end{split}$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}_t$  be the filtration

$$\mathcal{F}_t := \sigma \left( \nabla \mathbb{S}_t, (\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\mathbf{i}}, \sigma_{\mathbf{i}})_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{S}_t} \right) \qquad (t \ge 0).$$

Theorem [Mach, Sturm, S. '20]

$$\mathsf{T}_t(\mu) :=$$
 the law of  $G_{\mathbb{S}_t}((X_i)_{i \in \nabla \mathbb{S}_t}),$ 

where  $(X_i)_{i \in \nabla S_t}$  are i.i.d. with law  $\mu$  and independent of  $\mathcal{F}_t$ .

ヨト イヨト イヨト



A Recursive Distributional Equation is an equation of the form

$$X \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \gamma[\boldsymbol{\omega}](X_1, \ldots, X_{\kappa(\boldsymbol{\omega})})$$
 (RDE),

where  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$  are i.i.d. copies of X, independent of  $\omega$ . A law  $\nu$  solves (RDE) iff

(i) 
$$\mathbf{T}_t(\nu) = \nu$$
  $(t \ge 0)$  or (ii)  $\mathbf{T}(\nu) = \nu$ .

We can view  $\nu$  as the "invariant law" of a "Markov chain" where time has a tree-like structure.

In our example, solutions to the RDE are the Bernoulli distributions  $\nu_{\text{low}}$ ,  $\nu_{\text{mid}}$ ,  $\nu_{\text{upp}}$  with density  $z_{\text{low}}$ ,  $z_{\text{mid}}$ ,  $z_{\text{upp}}$ .

向下 イヨト イヨト

For any rooted subtree  $\mathbb{U}\subset\mathbb{T},$  let

$$\partial \mathbb{U} := \left\{ i_1 \cdots i_n \in \mathbb{T} : i_1 \cdots i_{n-1} \in \mathbb{U}, \ i_1 \cdots i_n \notin \mathbb{U} \right\}$$

denote the boundary of  $\mathbb{U}$  relative to  $\mathbb{T}$ .

For each solution  $\nu$  of (RDE), there exists a *Recursive Tree Process* (*RTP*) ( $\omega_i, X_i$ )<sub>*i* \in T</sub>, unique in law, such that:

- (i)  $(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\mathbf{i}})_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathbb{T}}$  are i.i.d. with law  $|\mathbf{r}|^{-1}\mathbf{r}$ .
- (ii) For finite U ⊂ T, the r.v.'s (X<sub>i</sub>)<sub>i∈∂U</sub> are i.i.d. with ν and independent of (ω<sub>i</sub>)<sub>i∈U</sub>.

(iii)  $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{i}} = \gamma[\omega_{\mathbf{i}}] (\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{i}1}, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{i}\kappa(\omega_{\mathbf{i}})})$  ( $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{T}$ ).

- If we add independent exponentially distributed lifetimes, then:
  - Conditional on  $\mathcal{F}_t$ , the r.v.'s  $(X_i)_{i \in \nabla S_t}$  are i.i.d. with law  $\nu$ .

イロン イボン イヨン イヨン 三日

Let  $(\omega_i, X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{T}}$  be the RTP corresponding to a solution  $\nu$  of the RDE.

Aldous and Bandyopadyay (2005) say that an RTP is endogenous if

 $X_{\emptyset}$  is measurable w.r.t. the  $\sigma$ -field generated by  $(\omega_i)_{i \in \mathbb{T}}$ .

They proved that endogeny is equivalent to *bivariate uniqueness*. Warren (2005) links endogeny to *dynamical RTPs*.

Johnson, Podder & Skerman (2018) link endogeny to *pivotal* vertices.

(本部) ((日) (日) (日) (日)

#### n-Variate processes

For each  $n \ge 1$ , a measurable map  $g : S^k \to S$  gives rise to *n*-variate map  $g^{(n)} : (S^n)^k \to S^n$  defined as

$$g^{(n)}(x_1,...,x_k) = g^{(n)}(x^1,...,x^n) := (g(x^1),...,g(x^n)),$$

with  $x = (x_i^m)_{i=1,...,k}^{m=1,...,n}$ ,  $x_i = (x_i^1, ..., x_i^n)$ ,  $x^m = (x_1^m, ..., x_k^m)$ . We define an *n*-variate map

$$\mathsf{T}^{(n)}(\mu^{(n)}) := |\mathbf{r}|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \mathsf{r}(\mathrm{d}\omega) \mathsf{T}_{\gamma^{(n)}[\omega]}(\mu^{(n)}),$$

which acts on probability measures  $\mu^{(n)}$  on  $S^n$ . The *n*-variate mean-field equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mu_t^{(n)} = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{r}(\mathrm{d}\omega) \{\mathbf{T}_{\gamma^{(n)}[\omega]}(\mu_t^{(n)}) - \mu_t^{(n)}\} \qquad (t \ge 0).$$

describes the mean-field limit of *n* coupled processes that are constructed using the same stochastic flow  $(X_{s,u})_{s \leq u}$ .

#### n-Variate processes

 $\mathcal{P}(S)$  space of probability measures on S.  $\mathcal{P}_{svm}(S^n)$  space of probability measures on  $S^n$  that are symmetric under a permutation of the coordinates.  $S_{\text{diag}}^n$  { $x \in S^n : x_1 = \cdots = x_n$ }  $\mathcal{P}(S^n)_{ii}$  space of probability measures on  $S^n$  whose one-dimensional marginals are all equal to  $\mu$ . • If  $(\mu_t^{(n)})_{t>0}$  solves the *n*-variate equation, then its *m*-dimensional marginals solve the *m*-variate equation. •  $\mu_0^{(n)} \in \mathcal{P}_{sym}(S^n)$  implies  $\mu_t^{(n)} \in \mathcal{P}_{sym}(S^n)$   $(t \ge 0)$ . •  $\mu_0^{(n)} \in \mathcal{P}(S_{\text{diag}}^n)$  implies  $\mu_t^{(n)} \in \mathcal{P}(S_{\text{diag}}^n)$   $(t \ge 0)$ .

• If 
$$\mathsf{T}(\nu) = \nu$$
, then  $\mu_0^{(n)} \in \mathcal{P}(S^n)_{\nu}$  implies  $\mu_t^{(n)} \in \mathcal{P}(S^n)_{\nu}$ .

マボン イラン イラン 一日

If  $\nu = \mathbb{P}[X \in \cdot]$  solves the RDE  $\mathsf{T}(\nu) = \nu$ , then

$$\overline{\nu}^{(n)} := \mathbb{P}\big[(\underbrace{X, \dots, X}_{n \text{ times}}) \in \cdot\big]$$

solves the *n*-variate RDE  $T^{(n)}(\nu^{(n)}) = \nu^{(n)}$ .

Questions:

- ▶ Is  $\overline{\nu}^{(n)}$  a stable fixed point of the *n*-variate equation?
- ▶ Is  $\overline{\nu}^{(n)}$  the only solution in  $\mathcal{P}_{sym}(S^n)_{\nu}$  of the *n*-variate RDE?

Recall that an RTP  $(\omega_i, X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{T}}$  corresponding to a solution  $\nu$  of the RDE is endogenous if

 $X_{\emptyset}$  is measurable w.r.t. the  $\sigma$ -field generated by  $(\omega_i)_{i \in \mathbb{T}}$ .

**Theorem [AB '05 & MSS '18]** The following statements are equivalent:

(i) The RTP corresponding to  $\nu$  is endogenous. (ii)  $\mathbf{T}_t^{(n)}(\mu) \underset{t \to \infty}{\Longrightarrow} \overline{\nu}^{(n)}$  for all  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(S^n)_{\nu}$  and  $n \ge 1$ . (iii)  $\overline{\nu}^{(2)}$  is the only solution in  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{sym}}(S^2)_{\nu}$  of the bivariate RDE. In our example, the RTPs for  $\nu_{\mathrm{low}}, \nu_{\mathrm{upp}}$  are endogenous, but the RTP corresponding to  $\nu_{\mathrm{mid}}$  is not.

#### n-Variate processes



Fixed points of  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} p_t = F_{\alpha}(p_t)$  for different values of  $\alpha$ .

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

æ

Cooperative branching with branching rate  $\alpha > 4$ 

The RDE  $T(\nu) = \nu$  has three solutions  $\nu_{low}, \nu_{mid}$ , and  $\nu_{upp}$ , where  $\nu_{...}$  is the probability measure on  $\{0, 1\}$  with mean  $\nu_{...}(\{1\}) = z_{...}$  (... = low, mid, upp), which give rise to solutions  $\overline{\nu}_{low}^{(2)}, \overline{\nu}_{mid}^{(2)}$ , and  $\overline{\nu}_{upp}^{(2)}$  of the *bivariate RDE*. **Proposition [Mach, Sturm, S. '20]** Apart from  $\overline{\nu}_{low}^{(2)}, \overline{\nu}_{mid}^{(2)}, \overline{\nu}_{upp}^{(2)},$ the *bivariate RDE* has one more solution  $\underline{\nu}_{mid}^{(2)}$  in  $\mathcal{P}_{sym}(S^2)$ . The domains of attraction are:

$$\begin{split} \overline{\nu}_{\rm low}^{(2)} : & \left\{ \mu_0^{(2)} : \mu_0^{(1)}(\{1\}) < z_{\rm mid} \right\}, \\ \underline{\nu}_{\rm mid}^{(2)} : & \left\{ \mu_0^{(2)} : \mu_0^{(1)}(\{1\}) = z_{\rm mid}, \ \mu_0^{(2)} \neq \overline{\nu}_{\rm mid}^{(2)} \right\}, \\ \overline{\nu}_{\rm mid}^{(2)} : & \left\{ \overline{\nu}_{\rm mid}^{(2)} \right\}, \\ \overline{\nu}_{\rm upp}^{(2)} : & \left\{ \mu_0^{(2)} : \mu_0^{(1)}(\{1\}) > z_{\rm mid} \right\}. \end{split}$$

伺い イヨト イヨト 三日

The *n*-variate map  $\mathbf{T}^{(n)}$  is defined even for  $n = \infty$ , and  $\mathbf{T}^{(\infty)}$  maps  $\mathcal{P}_{sym}(S^{\mathbb{N}_+})$  into itself.

By De Finetti's theorem,  $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_+}$  have a law in  $\mathcal{P}_{sym}(S^{\mathbb{N}_+})$  if and only if there exists a random probability measure  $\xi$  on S such that conditional on  $\xi$ , the  $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_+}$  are i.i.d. with law  $\xi$ .

Let 
$$\rho := \mathbb{P}[\xi \in \cdot]$$
 the law of  $\xi$ . Then  $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(S))$ .  
In view of this,  $\mathcal{P}_{sym}(S^{\mathbb{N}_+}) \cong \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(S))$ .

The map  $\mathbf{T}^{(\infty)} : \mathcal{P}_{sym}(S^{\mathbb{N}_+}) \to \mathcal{P}_{sym}(S^{\mathbb{N}_+})$ corresponds to a higher-level map  $\check{\mathbf{T}} : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(S)) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(S)).$ 

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

## The higher-level equation

For any measurable map  $g:S^k o S$ , define  $\check{g}:\mathcal{P}(S)^k o \mathcal{P}(S)$  by

 $\check{g} :=$  the law of  $g(X_1, \ldots, X_k)$ , where  $(X_1, \ldots, X_k)$  are independent with laws  $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_k$ .

Then

$$\check{\mathsf{T}}(
ho):= ext{ the law of }\check{\gamma}[oldsymbol{\omega}](\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_{\kappa(oldsymbol{\omega})}),$$

with  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$  as before and  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots$  i.i.d. with law  $\rho$ .

Define *n*-th moment measures

$$\rho^{(n)} := \mathbb{E}\big[\underbrace{\xi \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi}_{n \text{ times}}\big] \text{ where } \xi \text{ has law } \rho.$$

**Proposition [MSS '20]** If  $(\rho_t)_{t\geq 0}$  solves the *higher-level* mean-field equation, then its *n*-th moment measures  $(\rho_t^{(n)})_{t\geq 0}$  solve the *n*-variate equation.

## The higher-level equation

Equip 
$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(S))_{\nu} = \{\rho : \rho^{(1)} = \nu\}$$
 with the convex order

$$\rho_1 \leq_{\mathrm{cv}} \rho_2 \quad \text{iff} \quad \int \phi \, \mathrm{d}\rho_1 \leq \int \phi \, \mathrm{d}\rho_2 \quad \forall \text{ convex } \phi.$$

**[Strassen '65]**  $\rho_1 \leq_{cv} \rho_2$  iff there exist a r.v. X with law  $\nu$  and  $\sigma$ -fields  $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset \mathcal{H}_2$  s.t.  $\rho_i = \mathbb{P}\big[\mathbb{P}[X \in \cdot | \mathcal{H}_i] \in \cdot \big]$  (i = 1, 2).

Maximal and minimal elements:  $\mathcal{H}_1 = \{\Omega, \emptyset\} \Rightarrow \rho_1 = \delta_{\nu}$ .  $\mathcal{H}_2 = \sigma(X) \Rightarrow \rho_2 = \overline{\nu} := \mathbb{P}[\delta_X \in \cdot] \text{ with } \mathbb{P}[X \in \cdot] = \nu$ .

$$\delta_{\nu} \leq_{\mathrm{cv}} \rho \leq_{\mathrm{cv}} \overline{\nu} \qquad \forall \rho \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(S))_{\nu}.$$

**Proposition [MSS '18]**  $\check{T}$  is monotone w.r.t. the convex order. There exists a solution  $\underline{\nu}$  to the higher-level RDE s.t.

$$\check{\mathsf{T}}^n(\delta_
u) \underset{n \to \infty}{\Longrightarrow} \underline{\nu} \quad \text{and} \quad \check{\mathsf{T}}_t(\delta_
u) \underset{t \to \infty}{\Longrightarrow} \underline{\nu}$$

and any solution  $ho \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}))_{
u}$  to the higher-level RDE satisfies

$$\underline{
u} \leq_{\mathrm{cv}} 
ho \leq_{\mathrm{cv}} \overline{
u} \qquad orall 
ho \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}))_{
u}.$$

#### Proposition [MSS '18]

Let  $(\omega_i, X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{T}}$  be the RTP corresponding to  $\gamma$  and  $\nu$ . Set

$$\xi_{\mathbf{i}} := \mathbb{P}[X_{\mathbf{i}} \in \cdot | (\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\mathbf{ij}})_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{T}}].$$

Then  $(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\mathbf{i}}, \xi_{\mathbf{i}})_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{T}}$  is an RTP corresponding to  $\check{\gamma}$  and  $\underline{\nu}$ . Also,  $(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\mathbf{i}}, \delta_{X_{\mathbf{i}}})_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{T}}$  is an RTP corresponding to  $\check{\gamma}$  and  $\overline{\nu}$ .

**Corollary** The RTP is endogenous iff  $\underline{\nu} = \overline{\nu}$ .

伺下 イヨト イヨト

#### Theorem [Mach, Sturm, S. '20] One has

$$\underline{\nu}_{\rm low} = \overline{\nu}_{\rm low}, \quad \underline{\nu}_{\rm upp} = \overline{\nu}_{\rm upp}, \quad {\rm but} \quad \underline{\nu}_{\rm mid} \neq \overline{\nu}_{\rm mid}.$$

These are all solutions to the higher-level RDE. Any solution  $(\rho_t)_{t\geq 0}$  to the higher-level mean-field equation converges to one of these fixed points. The domains of attraction are:

$$\begin{split} \overline{\nu}_{\rm low} &: \qquad \big\{ \rho_0 : \rho_0^{(1)}(\{1\}) < z_{\rm mid} \big\}, \\ \underline{\nu}_{\rm mid} &: \qquad \big\{ \rho_0 : \rho_0^{(1)}(\{1\}) = z_{\rm mid}, \ \rho_0 \neq \overline{\nu}_{\rm mid} \big\}, \\ \overline{\nu}_{\rm mid} &: \qquad \big\{ \overline{\nu}_{\rm mid} \big\}, \\ \overline{\nu}_{\rm upp} &: \qquad \big\{ \rho_0 : \rho_0^{(1)}(\{1\}) > z_{\rm mid} \big\}. \end{split}$$

ヨット イヨット イヨッ

#### The higher-level equation

The map  $\mu \mapsto \mu(\{1\})$  defines a bijection  $\mathcal{P}(\{0,1\}) \cong [0,1]$ , and hence  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(\{0,1\})) \cong \mathcal{P}[0,1]$ .

Then the higher-level RDE takes the form

$$\eta \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \chi \cdot (\eta_1 + (1 - \eta_1)\eta_2\eta_3),$$

where  $\eta$  takes values in [0, 1],  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3$  are independent copies of  $\eta$ and  $\chi$  is an independent Bernoulli r.v. with  $\mathbb{P}[\chi = 1] = \alpha/(\alpha + 1)$ . This RDE has three "trivial" solutions

$$\overline{\nu}_{\dots} = (1 - z_{\dots})\delta_0 + z_{\dots}\delta_1 \qquad (\dots = \mathrm{low}, \mathrm{mid}, \mathrm{upp}),$$

and a nontrivial solution

$$\underline{\nu}_{\mathrm{mid}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \check{\mathsf{T}}^n(\delta_{z_{\mathrm{mid}}}).$$

伺い イヨト イヨト 三日



- 170

< ∃⇒



 < ≣⇒



< ∃⇒



< ∃⇒



< ∃⇒



< ∃⇒



< ∃⇒



< ∃⇒



< ∃⇒



< ∃⇒



< ≣⇒



< ∃⇒



 < ≣⇒



 < ≣⇒



 < ≣⇒



 < ≣⇒



 < ≣⇒



 < ≣⇒



< 🗇 🕨

< ≣⇒

< ≣⇒



 < ≣⇒



< 🗇 🕨

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>



< 🗇 🕨

프 🖌 🛪 프 🕨



< 🗇 🕨

3

< ≣⇒



< ≣⇒

A ■



< ∃⇒



< ≣⇒