# Computerized adaptive testing using Bayesian networks

#### Jiří Vomlel

Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic

11th July, 2007

J. Vomlel (ÚTIA AV ČR)

1/25

11th July, 2007

# Educational Testing Service (ETS)

• ETS is the world's largest private educational testing organization. It has 2300 permanent employees.

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

# Educational Testing Service (ETS)

- ETS is the world's largest private educational testing organization. It has 2300 permanent employees.
- Number of participants in tests in the school year 2000/2001:
   3 185 000 SAT I Reasoning Test and SAT II: Subject Area Tests
   2 293 000 PSAT: Preliminary SAT/National Merit Scholarship Qualifying Test
   1 421 000 AP: Advanced Placement Program
   801 000 The Praxis Series: Professional Assessments for Be
  - ginning Teachers and Pre-Professional Skills Tests
  - **787 000** TOEFL: Test of English as a Foreign Language
  - 449 000 GRE: Graduate Record Examinations General Test

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

# Educational Testing Service (ETS)

- ETS is the world's largest private educational testing organization. It has 2300 permanent employees.
- Number of participants in tests in the school year 2000/2001:
   3 185 000 SAT I Reasoning Test and SAT II: Subject Area Tests
   2 293 000 PSAT: Preliminary SAT/National Merit Scholarship
  - Qualifying Test
  - 1 421 000 AP: Advanced Placement Program
    - **801 000** The Praxis Series: Professional Assessments for Beginning Teachers and Pre-Professional Skills Tests
      - **787 000** TOEFL: Test of English as a Foreign Language **449 000** GRE: Graduate Record Examinations General Test
- ETS has a research unit doing research on adaptive testing using Bayesian networks: http://www.ets.org/research/

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

#### Model variables

 $Y_{n,i}$  binary response variable - its values indicates whether the answer of person *n* to question *i* was correct

$$n = 1, \ldots, N$$
 person index

$$i = 1, \ldots, I$$
 question index

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

#### Model variables

 $Y_{n,i}$  binary response variable - its values indicates whether the answer of person *n* to question *i* was correct

$$n = 1, \ldots, N$$
 person index

 $i = 1, \ldots, I$  question index

#### Model parameters

 $\delta_i$  difficulty of question *i* - fixed effects  $\beta_n$  ability (knowledge level) of person *n* - a random effect

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

### Models for the response variable Y

$$Y_{n,i} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \beta_n \ge \delta_i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

J. Vomlel (ÚTIA AV ČR)

11th July, 2007 4 / 25

E

DQC

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

## Models for the response variable Y

$$Y_{n,i} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \beta_n \ge \delta_i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
$$P(Y_{n,i} = 1) = \frac{\exp(\beta_n - \delta_i)}{1 + \exp(\beta_n - \delta_i)}$$

E

DQC

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

### Models for the response variable Y

$$Y_{n,i} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \beta_n \ge \delta_i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
$$P(Y_{n,i} = 1) = \frac{\exp(\beta_n - \delta_i)}{1 + \exp(\beta_n - \delta_i)}$$



J. Vomlel (ÚTIA AV ČR)

## Probability distribution for random effect $\beta_n$

$$P(\beta_n) = \mathcal{N}(0,\sigma^2)$$

#### a normal (Gaussian) distribution with the mean equal zero, and variance $\sigma^2$ .

J. Vomlel (ÚTIA AV ČR)

### Probability distribution for random effect $\beta_n$

$$P(\beta_n) = \mathcal{N}(0,\sigma^2)$$

#### a normal (Gaussian) distribution with the mean equal zero, and variance $\sigma^2$ .





æ

Sac

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト



 $\mathcal{N}_{\beta}(0,1) \cdot P(Y=1 \mid \beta, \delta_1 = -2)$ 

J. Vomlel (ÚTIA AV ČR)

CAT

11th July, 2007 6 / 25

590

ъ

▲ 同 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶



 $\mathcal{N}_{\beta}(0,1) \cdot P(Y=1 \mid \beta, \delta_{1}=-2) \cdot P(Y=0 \mid \beta, \delta_{2}=0)$ 

э

590

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト



э

Sac

くぼう くほう くほう



 $\mathcal{N}_{\beta}(0,1) \cdot P(Y=1 \mid \beta, \delta_{1}=-2) \cdot P(Y=0 \mid \beta, \delta_{2}=0)$  $\cdot P(Y=0 \mid \beta, \delta_{3}=+1) \cdot P(Y=0 \mid \beta, \delta_{4}=+2)$ 

э

Sac

くぼう くほう くほう

# Student model and evidence models (R. Almond and R. Mislevy, 1999)

 The variables of the models are: (1) skills, abilities, misconceptions, etc. - for brevity called skills - the vector of skills is denoted *S* and (2) items (questions) - the vector of questions is denoted *X*.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

# Student model and evidence models (R. Almond and R. Mislevy, 1999)

- The variables of the models are: (1) skills, abilities, misconceptions, etc. - for brevity called skills - the vector of skills is denoted *S* and (2) items (questions) - the vector of questions is denoted *X*.
- student model describes relations between student skills.

# Student model and evidence models (R. Almond and R. Mislevy, 1999)

- The variables of the models are: (1) skills, abilities, misconceptions, etc. - for brevity called skills - the vector of skills is denoted *S* and (2) items (questions) - the vector of questions is denoted *X*.
- student model describes relations between student skills.
- evidence models one for each item (question) describes relations of the item to the skills.



э

DQC

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

• Discussions with **domain experts**: expert knowledge is used to get the structure and parameters of the model

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

- Discussions with **domain experts**: expert knowledge is used to get the structure and parameters of the model
- A dataset of records is collected and a **machine learning** method is used to to construct a model and estimate its parameters.

- Discussions with **domain experts**: expert knowledge is used to get the structure and parameters of the model
- A dataset of records is collected and a **machine learning** method is used to to construct a model and estimate its parameters.
- A **combination** of previous two: e.g. experts suggest the structure and collected data are used to estimate parameters.

We want to diagnose presence/absence of three skills

 $S_1, S_2, S_3$ 

э

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

#### We want to diagnose presence/absence of three skills

 $S_1, S_2, S_3$ 

using three questions

 $X_{1,2}, X_{1,3}, X_{2,3}$ .

э

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

We want to diagnose presence/absence of three skills

$$S_1, S_2, S_3$$

using three questions

$$X_{1,2}, X_{1,3}, X_{2,3}$$
 .

The questions depend on skills and their dependence is described by conditional probability distributions

$$P(X_{i,j} = 1 | S_i = s_i, S_j = s_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (s_i, s_j) = (1, 1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We want to diagnose presence/absence of three skills

$$S_1, S_2, S_3$$

using three questions

$$X_{1,2}, X_{1,3}, X_{2,3}$$
 .

The questions depend on skills and their dependence is described by conditional probability distributions

$$P(X_{i,j} = 1 | S_i = s_i, S_j = s_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (s_i, s_j) = (1, 1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Assume all answers were wrong, i.e.,

$$X_{1,2}=0, \ X_{1,3}=0, \ X_{2,3}=0$$

# Reasoning under the assumption of skills' independence



э

Sac

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

# Reasoning under the assumption of skills' independence



First, assume the skills are pairwise independent, i.e.,

$$P(S_1, S_2, S_3) = P(S_1) \cdot P(S_2) \cdot P(S_3)$$

A 1

and for 
$$i = 1, 2, 3, s_i = 0, 1$$
  
 $P(S_i = s_i) = 0.5$ 

# Reasoning under the assumption of skills' independence



First, assume the skills are pairwise independent, i.e.,

$$\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{S}_1,\mathsf{S}_2,\mathsf{S}_3)=\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{S}_1){\cdot}\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{S}_2){\cdot}\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{S}_3)$$

and for 
$$i = 1, 2, 3, s_i = 0, 1$$
  
 $P(S_i = s_i) = 0.5$ 

Then conditional probabilities for j = 1, 2, 3 are

$$P(S_j = 0 \mid X_{1,2} = 0, X_{1,3} = 0, X_{2,3} = 0) = 0.75$$
,

i.e., we cannot decide with certainty, which skills are present and which are absent.



æ

DQC

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト



Now, assume there is a deterministic hierarchy among skills

$$S_1 \Rightarrow S_2, \ S_2 \Rightarrow S_3$$

4 6 1 1 4

Sac

∃ ► 4 Ξ



Now, assume there is a deterministic hierarchy among skills

$$S_1 \Rightarrow S_2, S_2 \Rightarrow S_3$$

Then conditional probabilities are

$$P(S_1 = 0 \mid X_{1,2} = 0, X_{1,3} = 0, X_{2,3} = 0) = 1$$
  

$$P(S_2 = 0 \mid X_{1,2} = 0, X_{1,3} = 0, X_{2,3} = 0) = 1$$
  

$$P(S_3 = 0 \mid X_{1,2} = 0, X_{1,3} = 0, X_{2,3} = 0) = 0.5$$

J. Vomlel (ÚTIA AV ČR)

11th July, 2007 11 / 25

ъ



Now, assume there is a deterministic hierarchy among skills

$$S_1 \Rightarrow S_2, \ S_2 \Rightarrow S_3$$

Then conditional probabilities are

$$P(S_1 = 0 \mid X_{1,2} = 0, X_{1,3} = 0, X_{2,3} = 0) = 1$$
  

$$P(S_2 = 0 \mid X_{1,2} = 0, X_{1,3} = 0, X_{2,3} = 0) = 1$$
  

$$P(S_3 = 0 \mid X_{1,2} = 0, X_{1,3} = 0, X_{2,3} = 0) = 0.5$$

For i = 1, 2, 3:  $P(S_i | X_{1,2} = 0, X_{1,3} = 0, X_{2,3} = 0) = P(S_i | X_{2,3} = 0)$ .  $X_{2,3} = 0$  gives the same information as  $X_{1,2} = X_{1,3} = X_{2,3} = 0$ .

### Fixed test vs. adaptive test



э

DQC

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

# Computerized Adaptive Testing (CAT)

The goal of CAT is to tailor each test so that it brings most information about each student.

3

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト
The goal of CAT is to tailor each test so that it brings most information about each student.

Two basic steps are repeated:

estimation of the knowledge level of the tested student

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

The goal of CAT is to tailor each test so that it brings most information about each student.

Two basic steps are repeated:

- estimation of the knowledge level of the tested student
- Selection of appropriate question to ask the student

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

The goal of CAT is to tailor each test so that it brings most information about each student.

Two basic steps are repeated:

- estimation of the knowledge level of the tested student
- Selection of appropriate question to ask the student

Entropy as an information measure:

The goal of CAT is to tailor each test so that it brings most information about each student.

Two basic steps are repeated:

estimation of the knowledge level of the tested student

Selection of appropriate question to ask the student

Entropy as an information measure:

• 
$$H(P(\mathbf{S})) = -\sum_{\mathbf{s}} P(\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{s}) \cdot \log P(\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{s})$$



The goal of CAT is to tailor each test so that it brings most information about each student.

Two basic steps are repeated:

- estimation of the knowledge level of the tested student
- Selection of appropriate question to ask the student

Entropy as an information measure:

• 
$$H(P(\mathbf{S})) = -\sum_{\mathbf{s}} P(\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{s}) \cdot \log P(\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{s})$$



"The lower the entropy the more we know."



э

DQC



For all terminal nodes (leaves)  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{s})$  of a strategy  $\mathbf{s}$  we define:

 steps that were performed to get to that node (e.g. questions answered in a certain way). It is called collected evidence e<sub>l</sub>.



For all terminal nodes (leaves)  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{s})$  of a strategy  $\mathbf{s}$  we define:

- steps that were performed to get to that node (e.g. questions answered in a certain way). It is called collected evidence e<sub>l</sub>.
- Using the probabilistic model of the domain we can compute probability of getting to a terminal node P(e<sub>ℓ</sub>).



For all terminal nodes (leaves)  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{s})$  of a strategy  $\boldsymbol{s}$  we define:

- steps that were performed to get to that node (e.g. questions answered in a certain way). It is called collected evidence e<sub>l</sub>.
- Using the probabilistic model of the domain we can compute probability of getting to a terminal node P(eℓ).
- Also during the process, when we collected evidence e, we update the probability of getting to a terminal node to conditional probability  $P(e_{\ell}|e)$

For all terminal nodes  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{s})$  of a strategy  $\boldsymbol{s}$  we also define:

• an evaluation function  $f : \cup_{s \in S} \mathcal{L}(s) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ ,

For all terminal nodes  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{s})$  of a strategy  $\boldsymbol{s}$  we also define:

- an evaluation function  $f : \cup_{\boldsymbol{s} \in S} \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{s}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ ,
- The evaluation function can be, e.g., the information gain.

For all terminal nodes  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{s})$  of a strategy  $\boldsymbol{s}$  we also define:

- an evaluation function  $f : \cup_{\boldsymbol{s} \in S} \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{s}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ ,
- The evaluation function can be, e.g., the information gain.
- Information gain in a node *n* of a strategy  $IG(\boldsymbol{e}_n) = H(P(\boldsymbol{S})) H(P(\boldsymbol{S} \mid \boldsymbol{e}_n))$

For all terminal nodes  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{s})$  of a strategy  $\boldsymbol{s}$  we also define:

- an evaluation function  $f : \cup_{\boldsymbol{s} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{s}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ ,
- The evaluation function can be, e.g., the information gain.
- Information gain in a node *n* of a strategy  $IG(\boldsymbol{e}_n) = H(P(\boldsymbol{S})) H(P(\boldsymbol{S} \mid \boldsymbol{e}_n))$

For each strategy we can compute:

For all terminal nodes  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{s})$  of a strategy  $\boldsymbol{s}$  we also define:

- an evaluation function  $f : \cup_{\boldsymbol{s} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{s}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ ,
- The evaluation function can be, e.g., the information gain.
- Information gain in a node *n* of a strategy  $IG(\boldsymbol{e}_n) = H(P(\boldsymbol{S})) H(P(\boldsymbol{S} \mid \boldsymbol{e}_n))$

For each strategy we can compute:

• expected value of the strategy:

$$E_f(\boldsymbol{s}) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{s})} P(\boldsymbol{e}_\ell) \cdot f(\boldsymbol{e}_\ell)$$

For all terminal nodes  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{s})$  of a strategy  $\boldsymbol{s}$  we also define:

- an evaluation function  $f : \cup_{\boldsymbol{s} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{s}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ ,
- The evaluation function can be, e.g., the information gain.
- Information gain in a node *n* of a strategy  $IG(\boldsymbol{e}_n) = H(P(\boldsymbol{S})) H(P(\boldsymbol{S} \mid \boldsymbol{e}_n))$

For each strategy we can compute:

• expected value of the strategy:

$$E_f(\boldsymbol{s}) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{s})} P(\boldsymbol{e}_\ell) \cdot f(\boldsymbol{e}_\ell)$$

The goal is

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

11th July, 2007

15/25

For all terminal nodes  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{s})$  of a strategy  $\boldsymbol{s}$  we also define:

- an evaluation function  $f : \cup_{\boldsymbol{s} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{s}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ ,
- The evaluation function can be, e.g., the information gain.
- Information gain in a node *n* of a strategy  $IG(\boldsymbol{e}_n) = H(P(\boldsymbol{S})) H(P(\boldsymbol{S} \mid \boldsymbol{e}_n))$

For each strategy we can compute:

• expected value of the strategy:

$$E_f(\boldsymbol{s}) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{s})} P(\boldsymbol{e}_\ell) \cdot f(\boldsymbol{e}_\ell)$$

The goal is

• to find a strategy that maximizes its expected value.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

11th July, 2007

15/25

For all terminal nodes  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{s})$  of a strategy  $\boldsymbol{s}$  we also define:

- an evaluation function  $f : \cup_{\boldsymbol{s} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{s}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ ,
- The evaluation function can be, e.g., the information gain.
- Information gain in a node *n* of a strategy  $IG(\boldsymbol{e}_n) = H(P(\boldsymbol{S})) H(P(\boldsymbol{S} \mid \boldsymbol{e}_n))$

For each strategy we can compute:

• expected value of the strategy:

$$E_f(\boldsymbol{s}) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{s})} P(\boldsymbol{e}_\ell) \cdot f(\boldsymbol{e}_\ell)$$

The goal is

- to find a strategy that maximizes its expected value.
- Specifically, we will maximize the expected information gain,

For all terminal nodes  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{s})$  of a strategy  $\boldsymbol{s}$  we also define:

- an evaluation function  $f : \cup_{\boldsymbol{s} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{s}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ ,
- The evaluation function can be, e.g., the information gain.
- Information gain in a node *n* of a strategy  $IG(\boldsymbol{e}_n) = H(P(\boldsymbol{S})) H(P(\boldsymbol{S} \mid \boldsymbol{e}_n))$

For each strategy we can compute:

• expected value of the strategy:

$$E_f(\boldsymbol{s}) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{s})} P(\boldsymbol{e}_\ell) \cdot f(\boldsymbol{e}_\ell)$$

The goal is

- to find a strategy that maximizes its expected value.
- Specifically, we will maximize the expected information gain,
- which corresponds to minimization of expected entropy.

J. Vomlel (ÚTIA AV ČR)



э

DQC



• Entropy in node *n*:  $H(\boldsymbol{e}_n) = H(P(\boldsymbol{S} \mid \boldsymbol{e}_n))$ 

J. Vomlel (ÚTIA AV ČR)

DQC

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト



- Entropy in node *n*:  $H(\boldsymbol{e}_n) = H(P(\boldsymbol{S} \mid \boldsymbol{e}_n))$
- Expected entropy of a test t $E_{H}(t) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}(t)} P(e_{\ell}) \cdot H(e_{\ell})$

< (10) ×

- E



- Entropy in node *n*:  $H(\boldsymbol{e}_n) = H(P(\boldsymbol{S} \mid \boldsymbol{e}_n))$
- Expected entropy of a test t $E_{H}(t) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}(t)} P(e_{\ell}) \cdot H(e_{\ell})$
- Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the set of all possible tests (e.g. of a given length).

< 17 ≥



- Entropy in node *n*:  $H(\boldsymbol{e}_n) = H(P(\boldsymbol{S} \mid \boldsymbol{e}_n))$
- Expected entropy of a test t $E_{H}(t) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}(t)} P(e_{\ell}) \cdot H(e_{\ell})$
- Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the set of all possible tests (e.g. of a given length).
- A test t\* is optimal iff
  - $\boldsymbol{t}^{\star} = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{t}\in\mathcal{T}} E_{H}(\boldsymbol{t}).$



- Entropy in node *n*:  $H(\boldsymbol{e}_n) = H(P(\boldsymbol{S} \mid \boldsymbol{e}_n))$
- Expected entropy of a test t $E_{\mathcal{H}}(t) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}(t)} P(\boldsymbol{e}_{\ell}) \cdot H(\boldsymbol{e}_{\ell})$
- Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the set of all possible tests (e.g. of a given length).
- A test  $t^*$  is optimal iff  $t^* = \arg\min_{t \in T} E_H(t)$ .
- Myopically optimal test:

in each step a we select a question that minimizes expected entropy of the test that would terminate after this question (one step look ahead). Examples of tasks:

$$T_{1}: \quad \left(\frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{5}{6}\right) - \frac{1}{8} \qquad = \quad \frac{15}{24} - \frac{1}{8} = \frac{5}{8} - \frac{1}{8} = \frac{4}{8} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$T_{2}: \quad \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{12} \qquad = \quad \frac{2}{12} + \frac{1}{12} = \frac{3}{12} = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$T_{3}: \quad \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \qquad = \quad \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{3}{2} = \frac{3}{8}$$

$$T_{4}: \quad \left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3}\right) \qquad = \quad \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{2}{3} = \frac{2}{12} = \frac{1}{6}$$

э

DQC

# Elementary and operational skills

СР	Comparison (common numerator or de- nominator)	$\frac{1}{2} > \frac{1}{3},  \frac{2}{3} > \frac{1}{3}$
AD	Addition (comm. denom.)	$\frac{1}{7} + \frac{2}{7} = \frac{1+2}{7} = \frac{3}{7}$
SB	Subtract. (comm. denom.)	$\frac{2}{5} - \frac{1}{5} = \frac{2-1}{5} = \frac{1}{5}$
MT	Multiplication	$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{3}{5} = \frac{3}{10}$
CD	Common denominator	$\left(\tfrac{1}{2},\tfrac{2}{3}\right) = \left(\tfrac{3}{6},\tfrac{4}{6}\right)$
CL	Canceling out	$\frac{4}{6} = \frac{2 \cdot 2}{2 \cdot 3} = \frac{2}{3}$
CIM	Conv. to mixed numbers	$\frac{7}{2} = \frac{3 \cdot 2 + 1}{2} = 3\frac{1}{2}$
CMI	Conv. to improp. fractions	$3\frac{1}{2} = \frac{3\cdot 2+1}{2} = \frac{7}{2}$

CAT

æ

DQC

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

# **Misconceptions**

Label	Description	Occurrence
MAD	$\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d} = \frac{a+c}{b+d}$	14.8%
MSB	$\frac{a}{b} - \frac{c}{d} = \frac{a-c}{b-d}$	9.4%
MMT1	$\frac{a}{b} \cdot \frac{c}{b} = \frac{a \cdot c}{b}$	14.1%
MMT2	$\frac{a}{b} \cdot \frac{c}{b} = \frac{a+c}{b \cdot b}$	8.1%
MMT3	$\frac{a}{b} \cdot \frac{c}{d} = \frac{a \cdot d}{b \cdot c}$	15.4%
MMT4	$\frac{a}{b} \cdot \frac{c}{d} = \frac{a \cdot c}{b + d}$	8.1%
МС	$a^b_{\overline{c}} = rac{a \cdot b}{c}$	4.0%

J. Vomlel (ÚTIA AV ČR)

CAT

11th July, 2007 19 / 25

900

▲ロト ▲聞 と ▲ 臣 と ▲ 臣 と 二 臣

#### Student model



2

DQC

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

#### Evidence model for task T1

$$\left(\frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{5}{6}\right) - \frac{1}{8} = \frac{15}{24} - \frac{1}{8} = \frac{5}{8} - \frac{1}{8} = \frac{4}{8} = \frac{1}{2}$$

J. Vomlel (ÚTIA AV ČR)

11th July, 2007 21 / 25

999

イロト イポト イモト イモト 二日

#### Evidence model for task T1

$$\left(\frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{5}{6}\right) - \frac{1}{8} = \frac{15}{24} - \frac{1}{8} = \frac{5}{8} - \frac{1}{8} = \frac{4}{8} = \frac{1}{2}$$

 $T1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad MT \& CL \& ACL \& SB \& \neg MMT3 \& \neg MMT4 \& \neg MSB$ 

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

3

21/25

11th July, 2007

#### Evidence model for task T1

$$\left(\frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{5}{6}\right) - \frac{1}{8} = \frac{15}{24} - \frac{1}{8} = \frac{5}{8} - \frac{1}{8} = \frac{4}{8} = \frac{1}{2}$$

 $T1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad MT \& CL \& ACL \& SB \& \neg MMT3 \& \neg MMT4 \& \neg MSB$ 



# Evidence model for task *T*1 connected with the student model



#### **Skill Prediction Quality**



J. Vomlel (ÚTIA AV ČR)

11th July, 2007 23 / 25

590

# Entropy



J. Vomlel (ÚTIA AV ČR)

11th July, 2007 24 / 25

DQC

#### **Conclusions and References**

• We have shown how Bayesian networks can be used for the construction of adaptive tests in CAT.

э

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

#### **Conclusions and References**

- We have shown how Bayesian networks can be used for the construction of adaptive tests in CAT.
- Adaptive tests can substantially reduce the number of questions we need to ask a tested student.

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>
## **Conclusions and References**

- We have shown how Bayesian networks can be used for the construction of adaptive tests in CAT.
- Adaptive tests can substantially reduce the number of questions we need to ask a tested student.

References:

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

## **Conclusions and References**

- We have shown how Bayesian networks can be used for the construction of adaptive tests in CAT.
- Adaptive tests can substantially reduce the number of questions we need to ask a tested student.

References:

 Howard Wainer, David Thissen, and Robert J. Mislevy.
 Computerized Adaptive Testing: A Primer. Mahwah, N.J., Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Second edition, 2000.

500

## **Conclusions and References**

- We have shown how Bayesian networks can be used for the construction of adaptive tests in CAT.
- Adaptive tests can substantially reduce the number of questions we need to ask a tested student.

References:

- Howard Wainer, David Thissen, and Robert J. Mislevy.
  Computerized Adaptive Testing: A Primer. Mahwah, N.J., Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Second edition, 2000.
- Russell G. Almond and Robert J. Mislevy. Graphical models and computerized adaptive testing. Applied Psychological Measurement, Vol. 23(3), pp. 223–237, 1999.

500

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

- We have shown how Bayesian networks can be used for the construction of adaptive tests in CAT.
- Adaptive tests can substantially reduce the number of questions we need to ask a tested student.

References:

- Howard Wainer, David Thissen, and Robert J. Mislevy. Computerized Adaptive Testing: A Primer. Mahwah, N.J., Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. Second edition. 2000.
- Russell G. Almond and Robert J. Mislevy. Graphical models and computerized adaptive testing. Applied Psychological Measurement, Vol. 23(3), pp. 223-237, 1999.
- J. Vomlel: Bayesian networks in educational testing, International Journal of Uncertainty, Fuzziness and Knowledge Based Systems, Vol. 12, Supplementary Issue 1, 2004, pp. 83-100.

- 4 ⊒ →