# Augmented Brownian webs as the scaling limit of non-simple coalescing random walks

Jan M. Swart (Czech Academy of Sciences)

joint with Nic Freeman

Jan M. Swart (Czech Academy of Sciences) Augmented Brownian webs

Let p be a probability law on  $\mathbb{Z}$  and let  $\operatorname{supp}(p) := \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : p(k) > 0\}.$ 

Assume that:

・ 回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

臣

For each  $z = (x, s) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ , there exists a unique path  $\pi_z$  with domain  $I(\pi_z) := \{s, s+1, \ldots\}$  such that

$$\pi_z(s)=x \quad ext{and} \quad \pi(t+1)=\pi(t)+\omegaig(\pi_z(t),tig) \qquad (t\geq s).$$

For each  $s \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}} := \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$  we define trivial paths  $\pi_s^{\pm}$  with domain  $I(\pi_s^{\pm}) := \{t \in \mathbb{Z} : t > s\}$  by

$$\pi^{\pm}_{s}(t) := \pm \infty \qquad (t \in I(\pi^{\pm}_{s})).$$

**Lemma** Almost surely,  $\mathcal{U} := \{\pi_z : z \in \mathbb{Z}^2\} \cup \{\pi_s^{\pm} : s \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}\}\)$  is a compact subset of the space  $\Pi_c$  of "continuous" paths, equipped with the Brownian web topology.

With the formalism of my previous talk, no need to interpolate!

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト



An arrow configuration  $\omega$ .

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト ・ヨ



A path  $\pi_z$  (interpolated for better visibility).

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト … ヨ



Paths coalesce as soon as they meet.

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト ・ヨ



We rescale space by  $\varepsilon$  and time by  $\varepsilon^2$ .

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト … ヨ

We define diffusive scaling maps by

$$S_{\varepsilon}(x,t) := (\varepsilon x, \varepsilon^2 t).$$

We extend  $S_{\varepsilon}$  continuously to the squeezed space  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R})$ . We identify a path with its closed graph and set

$$\mathcal{S}_arepsilon(\pi):=ig\{\mathcal{S}_arepsilon(z):z\in\piig\}$$
 and  $\mathcal{S}_arepsilon(\mathcal{U}):=ig\{\mathcal{S}_arepsilon(\pi):\pi\in\mathcal{U}ig\}.$ 

We are interested in the limit of  $\mathbb{P}[S_{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{U}) \in \cdot]$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ .

The Brownian web with diffusion rate  $\sigma$  is the unique (in law) random variable  $\mathcal{W}^{\sigma}$  with values in  $\mathcal{K}_{+}(\Pi_{c}^{\uparrow})$  such that:

- (i) In each deterministic point  $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$  there almost surely starts precisely one path  $\pi_z \in \mathcal{W}^{\sigma}$ .
- (ii) The paths  $\pi_{z_1}, \ldots, \pi_{z_k}$  starting in a finite collection  $z_1, \ldots, z_k \in \mathbb{R}^2$  of deterministic points are distributed as coalescing Brownian motions with diffusion rate  $\sigma^2$ .
- (iii) For each deterministic countable dense set  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , the Brownian web  $\mathcal{W}^{\sigma}$  is the closure of the set  $\mathcal{W}^{\sigma}(\mathcal{D}) := \{\pi_z : z \in \mathcal{D}\}$  of paths starting in  $\mathcal{D}$ .

Standard Brownian web  $\mathcal{W}:=\mathcal{W}^1.$ 

白 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

Theorem [Freeman, S. '25] Assume

$$n^{3}P(n) \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0$$
 with  $P(n) := \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} p(k)$   $(n \ge 0).$ 

Then

$$\mathbb{P}\big[S_{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{U})\in\,\cdot\,\big]\underset{\varepsilon\to 0}{\Longrightarrow}\,\mathbb{P}\big[\mathcal{W}^{\sigma}\in\,\cdot\,\big].$$

Proved earlier [Belhaouari, Mountford, Sun, and Valle '06] under the assumption  $\alpha > 3$ , where

$$\begin{split} \alpha &:= \sup \left\{ \beta \geq 0 : \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} p(k) |k|^{\beta} < \infty \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \beta \geq 0 : n^{\beta} P(n) \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0 \right\}. \end{split}$$

• • = • • = •

# Convergence to the Brownian web

Т

he condition 
$$n^3 P(n) \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0$$
 is sharp. Set  
 $X := \{(x, y, t) : (x, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^2, y = x + \omega(x, t)\},$   
 $S_{\varepsilon}(X) := \{(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon y, \varepsilon^2 t) : (x, y, t) \in X\}.$ 

Assume  $n^3 P(n) \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} c > 0$ . Then

$$\mathbb{P}\big[S_{\varepsilon}(X) \in \cdot\,\big] \underset{\varepsilon \to 0}{\Longrightarrow} \mathbb{P}\big[\Xi_{c} \in \,\cdot\,\big],$$

where  $\Xi_c$  is a Poisson point process on  $\{(x, y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x \neq y\}$  with intensity measure  $c\mu$ , where

$$\mu(\mathrm{d}(x,y,t)) := |x-y|^{-4} \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}y \,\mathrm{d}t.$$

▲御 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ 二 臣

# The augmented Brownian web

For each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\pi \in \Pi^{\uparrow}$  with starting time  $\sigma_{\pi} = s \in \mathbb{R}$ , we define  $\pi^{(x)} \in \Pi^{\uparrow}$  with domain  $I(\pi^{(x)}) := I(\pi)$  by

$$\pi^{( imes)}(s-):=x$$
 and  $\pi^{( imes)}(t\pm):=\pi(t\pm)$  in all other cases.

Let  $\mathcal{W}^{\sigma}$  be a Brownian web and let  $\Xi_c$  be an independent Poisson point process on  $\{(x, y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x \neq y\}$  with intensity  $c\mu$ .

Then we define the augmented Brownian web  $\mathcal{W}^{\sigma,c}$  by

$$\mathcal{W}^{\sigma,c} := \mathcal{W}^{\sigma} \cup \big\{ \pi^{(x)}_{(y,t)} : (x,y,t) \in \Xi_c \big\},$$

where  $\pi_{(y,t)}$  is the a.s. unique path in  $\mathcal{W}^{\sigma}$  starting at (y, t). Similarly, we define

$$\mathcal{W}^{\sigma,\infty} := \mathcal{W}^{\sigma} \cup \big\{ \pi^{(\mathsf{x})} : \pi \in \mathcal{W}^{\sigma}, \ \sigma_{\pi} \in \mathbb{R} \big\}.$$

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

Recall

$$lpha := \sup \left\{eta \geq \mathsf{0} : \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} p(k) |k|^eta < \infty 
ight\}$$

Theorem [Freeman, S. '25] Assume  $\alpha > 9/4$  and

$$n^{3}P(n) \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} c \in [0,\infty] \text{ with } P(n) := \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} p(k) \quad (n \ge 0).$$

Then

$$\mathbb{P}\big[S_{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{U}) \in \cdot\big] \underset{\varepsilon \to 0}{\Longrightarrow} \mathbb{P}\big[\mathcal{W}^{\sigma,c} \in \cdot\big],$$

where  $\Rightarrow$  denotes weak convergence on  $\Pi$  with respect to Skorohod's J1 topology, and paths in  $\mathcal{U}$  are *not* interpolated.

The condition  $\alpha > 9/4$  is sharp in the sense that for  $\alpha < 9/4$  the statement of the theorem is false.

The reason is that for  $\alpha < 9/4$ , in the diffusive scaling limit, there appear paths that make *two* macroscopic jumps near their starting time, which contradicts convergence in Skorohod's J1 topology.

In the remainder of this talk,

I will explain where the 9/4 comes from.

Presumably, it would be possible to prove convergence statements also for  $\alpha < 9/4$  but this would require significant work to define an appropriate topology and also the limit object becomes significantly more involved.

Removing the symmetry assumption on the jump distribution p is much easier but a notational nuisance.

イロン 不同 とうほう 不同 とう

Define sublattices  $\mathbb{Z}^2=\Lambda^0\supset\Lambda^1\supset\Lambda^2\supset\cdots$  by

$$\Lambda^{d} := \{ (r_{d} + 2^{d}x, 4^{d}t) : (x, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d} \} \text{ with } r_{d} := \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} (-2)^{i}.$$

For  $(x, s), (y, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ , set  $\ell_0(x, s) := \sup \{ d \ge 0 : (x, s) \in \Lambda^d \},$  $\ell(y, t) := \sup \{ d \ge 0 : \exists (x, s) \in \Lambda^d \text{ s.t. } s \le t, \ \pi_{(x,s)}(t) = y \}.$ 

通 とう ほとう きょう

Then  $I(\pi) \ni t \mapsto \ell(\pi(t), t)$  is nondecreasing for each  $\pi \in \mathcal{U}$ .







•		•	•	•	÷	•	•	•	-	t	•	•	: -	•	•	•	÷	•	•	•
		:	•	• •		:	•	: '		1	•	: (		:	•	• •	•	:	•	:
•	•			•	•	•		•	•	ļ	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	ł	•	•	•	·	•	·	•	•	•	·
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	t	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
				:					:	[	:	•								:
• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	:	•	•	•	•	•	•	İ	:	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
			•		•		•		•	Į	•		•		•		•		•	
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•
		•		: 4	Ġ.	•	•	•		t	•		÷	•	•	•		•	•	•
					Ļ	:		. '				. •		:		. '				. '
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
										I										
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	ł	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	·
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•

Image: A Image: A

臣



< Ξ



\* 臣

-∢ ≣ ▶











240

▲冊 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶



ヘロア 人間 アメヨア 人間 アー

크



<ロ> <四> <四> <四> <三</td>

Recall that  $\ell(y, t)$  is the level of the highest-level path that passes through (y, t). We let

$$au_d(z) := \infig\{t \ge \mathsf{0} : \ellig(\pi_z(\sigma_{\pi_z}+t),tig) \ge dig\}$$

denote the time before the path started at z coalesces with a path of level d or higher.

**Lemma** There exists constants  $C < \infty$  and  $\lambda > 0$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}[\tau_d(z) > t4^d] \leq Ce^{-\lambda t}$$
  $(t \geq 0, d \geq 1, z \in \mathbb{Z}^2).$ 

A B N A B N

Recall  $S_{\varepsilon}(x,s) := (\varepsilon x, \varepsilon^2 s)$ . Let

$$\Lambda_D := \left\{ (x,s) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : 0 \le x < 2^D, \ 0 \le t < 4^D \right\}$$

denote the *diffusive window* and let  $\Lambda_D^d := \Lambda^d \cap \Lambda_D$ . The number of points of level d or higher in the diffusive window is

$$|\Lambda_D^d| = 8^{D-d} \qquad (0 \le d \le D).$$

Because of the exponential tails, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a constant  $C < \infty$  such that with probability  $\ge 1 - \varepsilon$ , *all* paths started in  $\Lambda_D^d$  *level up* within a time  $\le C(D-d)4^d$ , uniformly in D and d.

• • = • • = •

#### Side remark Let

$$\rho_t := \mathbb{P}\big[\exists \pi \in \mathcal{U} \text{ s.t. } \sigma_{\pi} = \mathbf{0}, \ \pi(t) = \mathbf{0}\big].$$

denote the density of coalescing random walks, starting from each point in space. Using the previous lemma, it should be easy to show that

$$\rho_t \asymp t^{-1/2} \quad \text{as } t \to \infty.$$

In fact, the method should also work for random walks in the domain of attraction of an  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy process, with  $1 < \alpha < 2$ . In this case,

$$ho_t symp t^{-1/lpha}$$
 as  $t o \infty,$ 

the sublattices should be defined differently, corresponding to the scaling map  $S_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}(x,s) := (\varepsilon x, \varepsilon^{\alpha} s)$ , and one should use that  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy processes with  $1 < \alpha < 2$  are point recurrent.

▶ ★ 臣 ▶ ★ 臣 ▶ ...

# Thinned-out lattices

Let 
$$\pi|_r := \{(x, t) \in \pi : t \ge \sigma_{\pi} + r\}$$
 and  $\mathcal{U}|_r := \{\pi|_r : \pi \in \mathcal{U}\}.$   
Recall  $\alpha := \sup \{\beta \ge 0 : \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} p(k)|k|^{\beta} < \infty\}.$ 

**Theorem** Assume  $\alpha > 2$  and choose  $3 - \alpha < \beta < 1$ . Then

$$\mathbb{P}\big[S_{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{U}|_{\varepsilon^{-2\beta}}) \in \cdot\,\big] \underset{\varepsilon \to 0}{\Longrightarrow} \mathbb{P}\big[\mathcal{W}^{\sigma} \in \,\cdot\,\big].$$

Proof sketch Recall

$$\begin{split} \ell_0(x,s) &:= \sup \big\{ d \geq 0 : (x,s) \in \Lambda^d \big\}, \\ \ell(y,t) &:= \sup \big\{ d \geq 0 : \exists (x,s) \in \Lambda^d \text{ s.t. } s \leq t, \ \pi_{(x,s)}(t) = y \big\}. \end{split}$$

Because of the lemma,

$$|\{z \in \Lambda_D : \ell(z) = d\}| \approx 8^{D-d} \cdot 4^d = 2^{3D-d}.$$

▶ < E > < E >

臣

# Thinned-out lattices

It follows that 
$$\left| \{ z \in \Lambda_D : \ell(z) = d, \ |\omega(z)| \ge 2^{\theta D} \} \right|$$
  
 $\approx 2^{3D-d} \cdot 2^{-\alpha\theta D} = 2^{(3-\alpha\theta)D-d}.$ 

This quantity is of order one for  $\theta = (3D - d)/(\alpha D)$ , so

$$\sup\left\{|\omega(z)|: z \in \Lambda_D, \ \ell(z) \geq \beta D\right\} \approx 2^{(3-\beta)D/\alpha}$$

We have 
$$2^{(3-\beta)D/\alpha} \ll 2^D$$
 as long as  
 $(3-\beta)/\alpha < 1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad 3-\alpha < \beta$ , so  
 $\mathbb{P}[S_{2^{-D}}(\mathcal{U}(\Lambda^{\beta D}) \in \cdot] \underset{D \to \infty}{\Longrightarrow} \mathbb{P}[\mathcal{W}^{\sigma} \in \cdot].$ 

Setting  $\varepsilon = 2^{-D}$ , using that all paths reach level  $\beta D$  within a time of order  $4^{\beta D} = \varepsilon^{-2\beta}$ , the claim follows.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Let N(D, d, d') denote the number of paths starting in  $\Lambda_D^d$  that make *two* jumps of size  $\geq 2^D$ , the first one while they are at level d and the second one when they have reached level  $d' \geq d$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}[N(D,d,d')] \approx 8^{D-d} \cdot 4^d 2^{-\alpha D} \cdot 4^{d'} 2^{-\alpha D} = 2^{(3-2\alpha)D-d+2d'}$$

By our earlier argument we can restrict to  $d' \leq (3 - \alpha)D$ .

The number of paths starting in  $\Lambda_D$  and making two jumps  $\geq 2^D$  is

$$\approx \sum_{d=0}^{(3-\alpha)D} \sum_{d'=d}^{(3-\alpha)D} 2^{(3-2\alpha)D-d+2d'} \approx 2^{(9-4\alpha)D},$$

• (1) • (2) • (2) •

which comes from the term with d = 0 and  $d' = (3 - \alpha)D$ . As long as  $\alpha > 9/4$ , this tends to zero as  $D \to \infty$ .





For tightness one needs to show that the probability of this event tends to zero for  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , uniformly in  $\varepsilon$ .



ヘロト 人間 ト 人 ヨ ト 人 ヨ ト

In each step, they let the coalescing random walks of two subsequent times evolve until the next time.



・ロ・ ・ 四・ ・ ヨ・ ・ ヨ・

臣

In each step, they let the coalescing random walks of two subsequent times evolve until the next time.



In each step, they let the coalescing random walks of two subsequent times evolve until the next time.

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

By controlling the number of particles and their displacement in each step, Belhaouari, Mountford, Sun, & Valle in 2006 were able to control the maximal displacement of all paths started in the block of size  $\varepsilon^{-1} \times \delta \varepsilon^{-2}$ .

The argument is a bit lossy, which is why they needed the condition  $\alpha>3$ , which is slightly weaker than our optimal condition

$$n^{3}P(n) \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0$$
 with  $P(n) := \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} p(k)$   $(n \ge 0).$ 

The advantage of our multiscale argument is that one first controls the *time* till coalescence, which is easy, and only later has to care about the *displacement* before coalescence.

周 ト イヨ ト イヨ ト