A min-max random game on a graph that is not a tree

Jan M. Swart

Joint with Anja Sturm and Natalia Cardona-Tobón (Göttingen) Singapore, July 31, 2024

Alice and Bob play a game. They play in turn n moves each. Alice starts and Bob plays the last move.

In each turn. Alice and Bob have two moves to choose from. The outcome is determined by the exact sequences of moves played by each player.

As a result, there are 2^{2n} possible outcomes of the game.

Prior to the game, we randomly assign winners to all possible outcomes in an i.i.d. way. For each possible outcome, the probability that Bob is the winner is p .

We call this game $\text{AB}_n(\rho)$ and let $P^{\text{AB}}_n(\rho)$ denote the probability that Bob has a winning strategy.

 4 and 3 and 2 and 3 and 3 and 3

In the move before that, Bob chooses the maximum again. \overline{X} (i) := \overline{X} (i1) $\vee \overline{X}$ (i1) for $i \in \partial_k \mathbb{T}$ with k odd.

In the move before that, Bob chooses the maximum again. \overline{X} (i) := \overline{X} (i1) $\vee \overline{X}$ (i1) for $i \in \partial_k \mathbb{T}$ with k odd.

 299

€

后

have long been used in game theory. The idea to use i.i.d. input is due to Judea Pearl (1980).

 $P_n^{\text{AB}}(p)$ denotes the probability that Bob has a winning strategy. Pearl (1980) proved that

$$
P_n^{\text{AB}}(p) \underset{n \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 & \text{if } p < p_c^{\text{AB}}, \\ p_c^{\text{AB}} & \text{if } p = p_c^{\text{AB}}, \\ 1 & \text{if } p > p_c^{\text{AB}}, \end{array} \right.
$$

where $p^{\rm AB}_{\rm c}:=\frac{1}{2}(3-\frac{1}{2})$ √ 5) \approx 0.382, which has the effect that $p_{\rm c}^{\rm AB}$: $1-\overline{p_{\rm c}^{\rm AB}}$ is the golden ratio.

Note that $\rho_{\rm c}^{\rm AB} < 1/2$, which is due to the fact that ${\sf Bob}$ has the last move, which gives him an advantage.

4 桐 ト 4 三 ト 4 三 トーー

```
In a different variant of the game, prior to the game,
we assign i.i.d. Unif[0, 1] distributed
random variables \bigl(U(\textbf{i})\bigr)_{\textbf{i}\in\partial_{2n}\mathbb{T}}to the possible outcomes of the game.
```

```
If the game ends in the outcome i.
then the pay-out for Alice U(i)and for Bob is 1 - U(i).
```
へのへ

In this game, Bob chooses the minimum.

In this game, Bob chooses the minimum.

And Alice chooses the maximum.

 299

€

If we set
$$
\overline{X}(i) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \overline{U}(i) > p, \\ 1 & \text{if } \overline{U}(i) \leq p, \end{cases}
$$

then we obtain the same $(\overline{X}(i))_{i \in [\mathbb{T}]_n}$ as before.

The yields a *coupling* of processes with different values of p .

$$
P_n^{\text{AB}}(p) = \mathbb{P}\big[\overline{U}(\varnothing) \leq p\big] \qquad (p \in [0,1]).
$$

 $2Q$

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 \end{array} \right.$

 \sim ×. ∍ × 41 **B**

目

重

 \sim ×. ∍ × 4.重

B

重

 \sim ×. ∍ × ∢ 重→

后

 \sim ×. ∍ \sim ∢ 重→

后

 \sim \mathcal{A} . ≋ \sim ∢ 重→

后

 \sim \mathcal{A} . 店 \sim ∢ 重→

后

 \sim \mathcal{A} . \sim

目

∢ 重→

后

 \overline{AB}

目

 \mathcal{A} . \sim ∢ 重→

后

 \sim \mathcal{A} . \sim

目

∢ 重→

重

 \sim \mathcal{A} . \sim

目

∢ 重→

重

 \sim 4.1 ∍ \sim ∢ 重→

重

Ali Kahn, Devroye, and Neininger (2005) have proved that for a suitable choice of $0 < \xi < 1$,

$$
P_n^{\text{AB}}(p_{\text{c}} + \xi^n q) \underset{n \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} F(q) \qquad (q \in \mathbb{R}),
$$

for some nontrivial distribution function $F : \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]$.

Several variants of Pearl's game have been studied where the deterministic tree is replaced by a random tree, such as a Galton-Watson tree, or a tree where for each internal node randomness decides whose turn it is.

What if the "game tree" is not a tree?

What if different game histories can lead to the same outcome?

Let $Ab_n(p)$ denote the modified game where the outcome is determined by the exact sequence of moves played by Alice as before, but for Bob all that matters is how often he has played each of the two possible moves.

In this case, there are $2^n \cdot (n+1)$ possible outcomes to which we assign winners in an i.i.d. way as before.

In this case, the game-graph is not a tree.

The game-graph of the game $\mathrm{Ab}_3(p)$.

つくへ

The game-graph of the game $\mathrm{Ab}_3(p)$.

The game-graph of the game $\mathrm{Ab}_3(p)$.

The game-graph of the game $\mathrm{Ab}_3(p)$.

We can view the game-graph as a sort of "product" $\mathbb{T} \ltimes \mathbb{N}^2$ of graphs $\mathbb T$ and $\mathbb N^2$ describing the moves of the individual players.

In this way, we can define four different games:

 $AB_n(p)$ Pearl's original game with game-graph $\mathbb{T} \ltimes \mathbb{T} \cong \mathbb{T}$. $\mathrm{Ab}_{n}(p)$ The game with game-graph $\mathbb{T} \ltimes \mathbb{N}^{2}$. $\mathrm{aB}_{n}(p)$ The game with game-graph $\mathbb{N}^{2}\ltimes\mathbb{T}.$ $\mathrm{ab}_{n}(p)$ The game with game-graph $\mathbb{N}^{2}\ltimes\mathbb{N}^{2}.$ We let $P^{\text{AB}}_{n}(\rho)$, $P^{\text{Ab}}_{n}(\rho)$, $P^{\text{AB}}_{n}(\rho)$, and $P^{\text{ab}}_{n}(\rho)$ denote the probability that Bob has a winning strategy.

[Sturm, Cardona-Tobón & S. '24] One has

 $P_n^{\text{Ab}}(p) \leq P_n^{\text{AB}}(p) \leq P_n^{\text{ab}}(p) \qquad (p \in [0,1], \ n \geq 1).$

Conjecture

$$
P_n^{\text{Ab}}(p) \leq P_n^{\text{ab}}(p) \leq P_n^{\text{ab}}(p) \qquad (p \in [0,1], \ n \geq 1).
$$

イロメ マ畑 メラミン メラメー

 Ω

[Sturm, Cardona-Tobón & S. '24] There exist constants $0 < \rho_{\rm c}^{\rm Ab}, \rho_{\rm c}^{\rm aB} < 1$ such that

> $P_n^{\text{Ab}}(p) \longrightarrow_{n \to \infty} \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if and only if } p < p_c^{\text{Ab}}, \\ 1 & \text{if } p > p_c^{\text{Ab}}, \end{cases}$ 1 if $p > p_{\rm c}^{\rm Ab}$,

$$
P_n^{\text{aB}}(p) \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{\longrightarrow} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 & \text{if } p < p_c^{\text{aB}}, \\ 1 & \text{if and only if } p > p_c^{\text{aB}}. \end{array} \right.
$$

One has $1/2 \leq \rho_{\rm c}^{\rm Ab} \leq 7/8$ and $1/16 \leq \rho_{\rm c}^{\rm aB} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ $rac{1}{2}(3 -$ √ 5). Note that $P_n^{\text{AB}}(p) \leq P_n^{\text{AB}}(p)$ implies $\rho_{\rm c}^{\rm aB} \leq \rho_{\rm c}^{\rm AB} = \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}(3-\sqrt{5}) \approx 0.382$.

ADA EN AIR I DOG

For the game $ab_n(p)$, we can only prove that

$$
P_n^{\text{ab}}(p) \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p < 1/64, \\ 1 & \text{if } p > 15/16. \end{cases}
$$

 \rightarrow \equiv \rightarrow

 299

€

The bound $1/2 \leq p_{\rm c}^{\rm Ab}$ follows from the fact that if $p < 1/2$, then for large n , with high probability, at least one of these sets contains only zeros.

This means that Alice has a winning strategy that does not even react to Bob's moves.

へのへ

Let $\mathit{U}_0=\bigl(U_0(i,j)\bigr)_{(i,j)\in\mathbb{N}^2}$ be i.i.d. $\mathrm{Unif}[0,1]$ distributed. For each of the combinations $xx = AB$, Ab, aB, and ab, we can define $(U_t^{\rm xx})_{t\geq 0}$ by $U_0^{\rm xx}:=U_0$ and

A.
$$
U_{t+1}^{xx}(i,j) = U_t^{xx}(2i,j) \vee U_t^{xx}(2i+1,j),
$$

a. $U_{t+1}^{xx}(i,j) = U_t^{xx}(i,j) \vee U_t^{xx}(i+1,j)$ if *t* is odd,

B.
$$
U_{t+1}^{xx}(i,j) = U_t^{xx}(i,2j) \wedge U_t^{xx}(i,2j+1),
$$

b. $U_{t+1}^{xx}(i,j) = U_t^{xx}(i,j) \wedge U_t^{xx}(i,j+1)$ if *t* is even.

We claim that

$$
P_n^{xx}(p) = \mathbb{P}\big[U_{2n}^{xx}(0,0) \leq p\big].
$$

御き メミメメ ミメー

 $2Q$

遥

We observe that $\mathit{U}^{\mathrm{Ab}}_{2n}(0,0)$ depends on $\bigl(\mathit{U}_{0}(i,j)\bigr)_{(i,j)\in\mathbb{N}^{2}}$ exactly in the way $\overline{U}(\varnothing)$ depends on $\big(U(\mathsf{v})\big)_{\mathsf{v}\in\partial_{2n}(\mathbb{T}\ltimes\mathbb{N}^2)}.$

 Ω

 $t = 0$

The cellular automaton $(U_t^{\rm Ab})_{t\geq0}$. Grayscales indicate a value between zero (white) and one (black).

 $t=1$

The cellular automaton $(U_t^{\rm Ab})_{t\geq0}$.

Grayscales indicate a value between zero (white) and one (black).

 $t = 2$

The cellular automaton $(U_t^{\rm Ab})_{t\geq0}$. Grayscales indicate a value between zero (white) and one (black).

 $t = 3$

The cellular automaton $(U_t^{\rm Ab})_{t\geq0}$. Grayscales indicate a value between zero (white) and one (black).

 $t = 4$

The cellular automaton $(U_t^{\rm Ab})_{t\geq0}$. Grayscales indicate a value between zero (white) and one (black).

 $t = 5$

The cellular automaton $(U_t^{\rm Ab})_{t\geq0}$. Grayscales indicate a value between zero (white) and one (black).

 $t = 6$

The cellular automaton $(U_t^{\rm Ab})_{t\geq0}$. Grayscales indicate a value between zero (white) and one (black).

 $t = 7$

The cellular automaton $(U_t^{\rm Ab})_{t\geq0}$. Grayscales indicate a value between zero (white) and one (black).

 $t = 8$

The cellular automaton $(U_t^{\rm Ab})_{t\geq0}$. Grayscales indicate a value between zero (white) and one (black).

 $t = 9$

The cellular automaton $(U_t^{\rm Ab})_{t\geq0}$. Grayscales indicate a value between zero (white) and one (black).

 $t = 10$

The cellular automaton $(U_t^{\rm Ab})_{t\geq0}$. Grayscales indicate a value between zero (white) and one (black).

 $t = 11$

The cellular automaton $(U_t^{\rm Ab})_{t\geq0}$. Grayscales indicate a value between zero (white) and one (black).

 $t = 12$

The cellular automaton $(U_t^{\rm Ab})_{t\geq0}$. Columns are independent of each other at all times.

 $t = 13$

The cellular automaton $(U_t^{\rm Ab})_{t\geq0}$. Columns are independent of each other at all times.

 $t = 14$

The cellular automaton $(U_t^{\rm Ab})_{t\geq0}$. Columns are independent of each other at all times.

 $t = 15$

The cellular automaton $(U_t^{\rm Ab})_{t\geq0}$. Columns are independent of each other at all times.

 $t = 16$

The cellular automaton $(U_t^{\rm Ab})_{t\geq0}$. Columns are independent of each other at all times.

 290

 $t = 17$

The cellular automaton $(U_t^{\rm Ab})_{t\geq0}$. Columns are independent of each other at all times.

 $t = 18$

The cellular automaton $(U_t^{\rm Ab})_{t\geq0}$. Columns are independent of each other at all times.

 $t = 19$

The cellular automaton $(U_t^{\rm Ab})_{t\geq0}$. Columns are independent of each other at all times.

 $t = 20$

The cellular automaton $(U_t^{\rm Ab})_{t\geq0}$. Columns are independent of each other at all times.

 $t = 21$

The cellular automaton $(U_t^{\rm Ab})_{t\geq0}$. Columns are independent of each other at all times.

 $t = 22$

The cellular automaton $(U_t^{\rm Ab})_{t\geq0}$. Columns are independent of each other at all times.

 $t = 23$

The cellular automaton $(U_t^{\rm Ab})_{t\geq0}$. Columns are independent of each other at all times.

 $t = 24$

The cellular automaton $(U_t^{\rm Ab})_{t\geq0}$. Columns are independent of each other at all times.

For the cellular automaton $(U_t^{\mathrm{aB}})_{t\geq 0}$, rows are independent of each other at all times.

For the cellular automaton $(U_t^{\rm AB})_{t\geq 0}$, all lattice points remain independent of each other at all times.

 OQ

To prove the bounds $\rho_\mathrm{c}^\mathrm{Ab} \leq 7/8$ and $1/16 \leq \rho_\mathrm{c}^\mathrm{aB}$, as well as the fact that

$$
P_n^{\text{ab}}(p) \underset{n \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p < 1/64, \\ 1 & \text{if } p > 15/16, \end{cases}
$$

we use a Peierls argument due to Toom (1980) and further developed by S., Szábo, and Toninelli (2024).

A strategy for Alice (Bob) is a function that assigns to each state in which it is Alice's (Bob's) turn precisely one of the two moves available to Alice (Bob). Let S_1 and S_2 denote the set of strategies for Alice and Bob, respectively, and let

$o(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$

denote the outcome of the game if Alice plays strategy $\sigma_1 \in S_1$ and Bob plays strategy $\sigma_2 \in S_2$. We set

$$
Z(\sigma_1):=\big\{\mathit{o}(\sigma_1,\sigma_2):\sigma_2\in\mathcal{S}_2\big\}.
$$

A strategy $\sigma_1 \in S_1$ is winning for Alice if

$$
X(v)=0 \quad \forall v \in Z(\sigma_1).
$$

Construction of the set $Z(\sigma_1)$ for a given strategy of Alice.

 299

€

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 \end{array} \right.$

Construction of the set $Z(\sigma_1)$ for a given strategy of Alice.

 299

Construction of the set $Z(\sigma_1)$ for a given strategy of Alice.

 299

Construction of the set $Z(\sigma_1)$ for a given strategy of Alice.

 299

Construction of the set $Z(\sigma_1)$ for a given strategy of Alice.

 299

Construction of the set $Z(\sigma_1)$ for a given strategy of Alice.

 299

重

Construction of the set $Z(\sigma_1)$ for a given strategy of Alice.

 299

重

∢ 重→

 \sim

重

 299

∢ 重→

 \sim

重

 299

€

€

€

We will construct a Toom cycle that passes through $Z(\sigma_1)$.

 299

€

We will construct a Toom cycle that passes through $Z(\sigma_1)$.

 299

€

€

We will construct a Toom cycle that passes through $Z(\sigma_1)$.

 299

€

 \rightarrow \rightarrow \equiv \rightarrow

∍

重

 299

メイモト

重

 299

メイモト

重

 299

一 三つ

 290

€

国 重り

 299

€

 299

する (手)

 299

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 \end{array} \right.$

Toom cycles

Theorem If Alice has a winning strategy, then there exists a Toom cycle ψ such that $X(v) = 0$ for each possible outcome v that ψ passes through.

Lemma For each Toom cycle ψ , there exists an integer $m \geq 0$ such that the cycle makes m steps in each of the six directions straight-up, straight-down, right-up, right-down, left-up, and left-down,

and ψ passes through $m+1$ possible outcomes.

Lemma For each m, there are $\leq 8^m$ different Toom cycles.

Consequence:

$$
1-P_n^{\mathrm{Ab}}(p)\leq \sum_{m=n}^{\infty}8^m(1-p)^{m+1},
$$

and $p_{\rm c}^{\rm Ab} \leq 7/8$.

AD - 2 D - 2 D - 2

Sharpness of the transition

Let S be a finite set, let $L: \{0,1\}^S \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ be a function, and let $X^p = (X^p(v))_{v \in S}$ be i.i.d. with $\mathbb{P}[X^p(v) = 1] = p$ $(v \in S)$. Let

$$
X_{v,y}^p(w) := \begin{cases} y & \text{if } w = v, \\ X^p(w) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} (y = 0,1).
$$

We say v is pivotal if $L(X^p_\nu)$ $\binom{p}{v,0} \neq L(X_v^p)$ $v_{\nu,1}^{(\rho)}$). The *influence* of v is

$$
I^{p}(v) := \mathbb{P}[v \text{ is pivotal in } X^{p}] \qquad (v \in S).
$$

If L is monotone, then $Russo's formula$ says that

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial p}\mathbb{P}[L(X^p) = 1] = \sum_{v \in S} I^p(v).
$$

Bourgain, Kahn, Kalai, Katznelson, and Linial (1992) have proved that there exists a universal constant $c > 0$ such that:

$$
(\star) \quad \sum_{v \in S} I^p(v) \geq c \text{Var}\big(L(X^p)\big) \log \big(1/\sup_{v \in S} I^p(v)\big).
$$

If each individual influence is small, and the law of $L(X^p)$ is nontrivial, then the sum of the influences must be large.

We apply this to $S:=\partial_n(\mathbb{T}\ltimes \mathbb{N}^2)$ and $L_n(x):=1$ iff Bob has a winning strategy for $\big(\mathsf{x}(\mathsf{v})\big)_{\mathsf{v}\in\partial_{\mathsf{n}}(\mathbb{T}\ltimes\mathbb{N}^2)}.$

We observe that $\text{Var}(L_n(X^p)) = P_n^{\text{Ab}}(p)(1 - P_n^{\text{Ab}}(p)).$

Sharpness of the transition

Assume $\varepsilon \leq P^{\text{Ab}}_{n}(p) \leq 1 - \varepsilon$. Formula (\star) tells us that

$$
(\star) \quad \sum_{v \in S} l_n^p(v) \geq c\varepsilon (1-\varepsilon) \log(1/J_n)
$$

with $J_n := \sup_{v \in S} I_n^p(v)$.

Because of the symmetry of $\partial_n(\mathbb{T} \ltimes \mathbb{N}^2)$,

$$
\#\big\{v\in\partial_n(\mathbb{T}\ltimes\mathbb{N}^2):I_n^p(v)=J_n\big\}\geq 2^n.
$$

As a consequence,

$$
\sum_{v\in S} I_n^p(v) \geq 2^n J_n.
$$

Combining this with (\star) one finds that for some $c' > 0$

$$
\sum_{v\in S} I_n^p(v) \ge c'\varepsilon (1-\varepsilon)n \quad \text{if } \varepsilon \le P_n^{\text{Ab}}(p) \le 1-\varepsilon.
$$

Sharpness of the transition

By Russo's formula, this implies that

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial p}P_n^{\text{Ab}}(p) \geq c' \varepsilon (1-\varepsilon)n \quad \text{if } \varepsilon \leq P_n^{\text{Ab}}(p) \leq 1-\varepsilon,
$$

which implies that $P^{\rm Ab}_{n}(p)$ increases from a value $\leq \varepsilon$ to a value $\geq 1-\varepsilon$ in an interval of length $\leq 1/(c'\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)n).$

Sharpness of the transition.